Poster #904P NCT03691207 EudraCT 2019-000309-64

ACCURACY: A Phase 2 Trial of AL101, a Selective Gamma Secretase Inhibitor, in Subjects With Recurrent/Metastatic (R/M) Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma (ACC) Harboring NOTCH-Activating Mutations (NOTCH^{mut}): Results of 6-mg Cohort

12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 16 GILAD GORDON, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 12 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 13 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 GARY B. GORDON, 17 RENATA FERRAROTTO 18 ALOMON M. STEMMER, 14 CARLA VAN HERPEN, 15 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 FRANCIS P. WORDEN, 17 ERIC WINQUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRTH, 15 ERIC WINDUIST, 14 LORI J. WIRT

1SOLID TUMOR ONCOLOGY DIVISION, HEAD AND NECK DEPARTMENT, GUSTAVE ROUSSY, VILLE JUIF, FRANCE; 4DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL ONCOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CANCER CENTER, AURORA, CO, USA; 3HEAD AND NECK DEPARTMENT, GUSTAVE ROUSSY, VILLE JUIF, FRANCE; 4DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL ONCOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CANCER CENTER, AURORA, CO, USA; 3HEAD AND NECK DEPARTMENT, GUSTAVE ROUSSY, VILLE JUIF, FRANCE; 4DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL ONCOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CANCER CENTER, AURORA, CO, USA; 3HEAD AND NECK DEPARTMENT OF MEDICAL ONCOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO CANCER CENTER, AURORA, CO, USA; 3HEAD AND NECK DEPARTMENT, GUSTAVE ROUSSY, VILLE JUIF, FRANCE; 4DEPARTMENT, GUSTAVE ROUSSY, VILLE SUB, GUSTAVE ROUSSY, VILLE JUIF, FRANCE; 4DEPARTMENT, GUSTAVE ROUSSY, VILLE JUIF, FRANCE 15 HEMATOLOGY, RABIN MEDICAL CENTER, NIJMEGEN, NETHERLANDS; 14 DEPARTMENT OF ONCOLOGY, RABIN MEDICAL CENTER, NIJMEGEN, NETHERLANDS; 16 ENDOCRINE ONCOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ONCOLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ONCOLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ONCOLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ONCOLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ON COLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ON COLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ON COLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ON COLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON, CANADA; 16 ENDOCRINE ON COLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON COLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ON TARIO, LONDON, ON COLOGY, MASSACHUSETTS GENERAL HOSPITAL CANCER CENTER, MASSACHUSETTS UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ROGEL CANCER CENTER, ANN ARBOR, MI, USA; ¹⁷AYALA PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., WILMINGTON, DE, USA; ¹⁸THORACIC/HEAD AND NECK MEDICAL ONCOLOGY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS MD ANDERSON CANCER CENTER, HOUSTON, TX, USA

BACKGROUND

- NOTCH signaling pathway dysregulation plays a key role in tumorigenesis in several cancers, including adenoid cystic carcinoma (ACC)^{1,2}
- ACC is a rare cancer of the secretory glands, accounting for about 10% of all salivary gland tumors^{3,4}
- ACC is an immunologically "cold" tumor that is refractory to chemotherapy, with a high recurrence rate (≈50%) after initial surgery; there is no accepted standard of care or approved therapy for patients with recurrent/metastatic (R/M) ACC⁵⁻⁷
- NOTCH gene mutations are found in a subset of patients with ACC ($\approx 20\%$)^{5,8}; these patients are characterized by a particularly aggressive disease course, a distinct pattern of metastases, and a poor prognosis^{5,9}
- AL101 is an investigational small-molecule v-secretase inhibitor (GSI) that potently and selectively inhibits NOTCH1/2/3/4^{10,11} AL101 blocks the final cleavage step by the y-secretase required for NOTCH
- activation, thus inhibiting the expression of *NOTCH* target genes (**Figure 1**) • AL101 has robust antitumor activity in ACC patient-derived xenograft models with
- activating NOTCH1 mutations¹² • Three phase 1 trials have tested AL101 as monotherapy or in combination regimens
- in >200 patients with solid/hematologic cancer (unselected patient population)
- In the phase 1 study evaluating advanced/metastatic solid tumors refractory to standard therapies, AL101 was generally well tolerated, with manageable adverse events (AE); and the acceptable doses were either 4- or 6-mg intravenously (IV) once weekly (QW)¹³
- In the results previously presented from this phase 2 monotherapy study (see Figure 2) below), the results from the 4-mg cohort were a disease control rate (DCR; partial response [PR] + stable disease [SD]) in 29 of 41 patients (71%) according to the updated investigator assessment, including PR in 6 patients (15%), and AL101 at 4 mg QW was well tolerated¹⁴

Figure 1. NOTCH Signaling and AL101 Mechanism of Action



METHODS

Primary Objective

• To assess the clinical activity of AL101 using radiographic assessments and Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1 in patients with ACC and *NOTCH*-activating mutations

Study Design

3 months

- Phase 2, non-comparative, open-label, multicenter study (Figure 2)¹⁵
- Eligible patients received AL101 4-mg IV QW (Cohort 1) or 6-mg IV QW (Cohort 2) until progressive disease (PD), unacceptable toxicity, or withdrawal of consent Patients undergo radiographic assessments every 8 weeks during treatment, with an end-of-study visit 30 days after the last treatment and long-term follow-up every

Figure 2. ACCURACY Study Schema



Eligibility Criteria Kev Inclusion Criteria

- *NOTCH1/2/3/4* mutation(s)
- Not amenable to potentially curative surgery or radiotherapy Radiographic or clinical progression within 6 months of signing informed consent
- Patients with newly diagnosed metastatic disease are allowed Patients with nodal or visceral metastasis must have ≥1 target lesion that is
- measurable per RECIST version 1.1
- Bone Response Criteria

Key Exclusion Criteria

- Diagnosis of a malignancy in the past 2 years • Current or recent (within 2 months of study drug administration) gastrointestinal disorders that increase the risk of diarrhea, such as inflammatory bowel disease
- Evidence of uncontrolled, active infection
- Symptomatic central nervous system metastases
- Completed palliative radiotherapy <7 days before initiating study drug • Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status ≥2

Statistical Considerations

• A response rate of ≤8% is considered not clinically significant • The expansion of Cohort 1 to a maximum of 45 patients and Cohort 2 to a maximum of 42 patients will provide ≥80% power in each cohort to test the hypothesis of achieving an increase of the response rate from 8% to 25% using a type I error of 5%

RESULTS

Patients

- All 42 patients enrolled in the AL101 6-mg cohort were treated and evaluable for safety; of these patients, 33 were evaluable for efficacy (**Table 1**)
- Most patients (52%) had received prior systemic cancer therapy in the AL101 6-mg cohort (**Table 1**) Prior chemotherapy was administered to 48% of patients in the AL101 6-mg cohort
- (Table 1 Most patients (98%) had undergone prior cancer surgery and/or radiotherapy in the
- AL101 6-mg cohort (**Table 1**) • A median of 2 cycles per patient were initiated in the AL101 6-mg cohort,
- corresponding to a median time of 1.68 months on treatment (**Table 1**) • The median time on study, defined as time from first dose to last contact, was 7.7, 4.1,
- 4.9 months for PR, SD, PD, respectively
- The median time on treatment, defined as time from first to last dose, was 7.2, 3.0, 1.5 months for PR, SD, PD, respectively
- Table 1. Patient Disposition and Baseline Characteristics

	AL101 6-mg QW
Enrolled (signed concent), p (%)	(N-42)
Troated a p (%)	42 (100)
Evaluable for sefety ^b	42 (100)
Evaluable for officeov ⁶	42 (100)
Not evoluable for efficacy	0 (21 4)d
	9 (21.4)
Malo	24 (57 1)
Eomalo	
Ago modion (rango) y	50 (25 80)
Age, median (range), y	
	33 (78 6)
Black or African Amorican	2 (4 8)
	(4.0)
	0
Net reported	6 (14 3)
	0 (14.3)
0	17 (40.5)
1	25 (59 5)
Prior systemic treatment ^e n (%)	23(53.5)
Prior chemotherapy treatment, $n(\%)$	22 (52.4)
Prior radiation therapy $n (%)$	/1 (97.6)
Prior cancer surgery n (%)	/1 (97.6)
Status at screening f n (%)	41 (37.0)
Metastatic disease	35 (83 3)
L ocally recurrent disease	12 (28 6)
Treatment-naïve and metastatic	6 (14 3)
Most common sites of recurrence or progression ^f n (%)	0 (14.3)
	22 (52 4)
Bone	14 (33 3)
	12 (28 6)
Bone-only disease n (%)	0
Patients who discontinued AI 101 a n (%)	35 (83.3)
	12 (28 6)
AFs	8 (19 0)
Physician decision	6 (14.3)
Patient decision	5 (11.9)
Death	4 (9.5)
Patients still receiving AL101.ª n (%)	7 (16.7) ⁹
Number of cycles initiated by patient, median (range)	2 (1-10)
Time on study. ^h median (range), months	3.86 (0.4-11.6)
Time on AL101, median (range), months	1.68 (0-8.3)
Data cutoff as of July 9, 2021. ^a Safety analysis set is used as the denominator. ^b Safety analysis set includes all patients who receive at least 1 infusion ^c Efficacy-evaluable analysis set includes all patients who receive at least disease at baseline per Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors very Criteria for bone-exclusive disease and have at least 1 post-baseline on ^d As of cutoff date, 33 patients were evaluable for efficacy. 6 patients dise assessment; 3 patients received drug but had not yet reached their first ^e Includes adjuvant and neoadjuvant treatment. ^f Patients may present with more than 1 site of recurrence or progression ^g Of these 7 patients, 4 are part of the efficacy population, and 3 are part efficacy evaluation, and 3 patients were receiving drug, but did not react ^h Defined as time from first dose to last contact.	of study drug, including partial infusions. It 1 complete infusion of study drug, have measurable ersion 1.1 or modified MD Anderson Bone Response -study assessment of tumor response. continued prior to the first post-baseline radiologic post-line assessment. n. of the safety population. The 4 patients had their first h the first post-baseline assessment.

AE, adverse event; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; PD, progressive disease; QW, once weekly.

Presented at the European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Virtual Congress 2021 | September 16–21, 2021

Efficacy of AL101 6-mg QW

- Among 33 evaluable patients who received AL101 6-mg, the investigator assessment of best response based on RECIST version 1.1 was (Figures 3-5):
- DCR (PR + SD): 23 patients (69.7%)
- PR: 3 patients (9.1%)
- SD: 20 patients (60.6%) PD: 8 patients (24.2%)
- Not evaluable: 2 patients (6.1%)
- The median progression-free survival (PFS) was 3.7 months in the AL101 6-mg cohort • The median overall survival (OS) was 9.1 months in the AL101 6-mg cohort

Figure 3. Best Overall Responses^a by Investigator Review in AL101 6-mg Cohort (n=33)^b



Figure 4. Time of Objective Response^a by Investigator Review in AL101 6-mg Cohort (n=33)^b



Figure 5. Radiographic Scans of Two Patients Who Achieved Partial Responses After AL101 6-mg Treatment



PR, partial response.

• Adults (aged ≥18 years) with histologically confirmed R/M ACC with activating

- Patients with bone-exclusive disease are eligible if bone lesions are evaluable by computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging as per modified MD Anderson

Safety of AL101 6-mg QW

- All 42 treated patients in the AL101 6-mg cohort experienced treatment-emergent AEs (TEAE), which were treatment related in 41 patients (97.6%; **Table 2**)
- Thirty-two patients (76.2%) in the AL101 6-mg cohort had grade 3/4 AEs, which were treatment related in 27 patients (64.3%; **Table 2**)
- Twenty-six patients (61.9%) reported at least 1 serious TEAEs in the AL101 6-mg cohort, 13 (31.0%) of which were considered to be treatment related (**Table 2**)
- There were 4 deaths (9.5%) resulting from TEAEs in the AL101 6-mg cohort (**Table 2**)

Table 2. Safety Summary

	AL101 6-mg (N=42)				
	Treatment emergent, n (%)	Treatment related, n (%)			
Any AE	42 (100)	41 (97.6)			
Any grade 3/4 AE	32 (76.2)	27 (64.3)			
Any SAE	26 (61.9)	13 (31.0)			
Any deaths	4 (9.5)	1 (2.4) ^a			
AEs leading to discontinuation of AL101	11 (26.2)	NA			
AEs requiring dose interruption of AL101	25 (59.5)	NA			
AEs requiring dose reduction of AL101	10 (23.8)	NA			
AEs requiring dose delays of AL101	2 (2.0)	NA			
Data cutoff as of July 9, 2021. ªAcute respiratory distress syndrome. AE, adverse event; NA, not available; SAE serious adverse event.					

Treatment-related diarrhea was common and occurred in 32 (76.2%) patients in the AL101 6-mg cohort (**Table 3**), consistent with reports of NOTCH pathway inhibition¹⁶ - Most events were grade 1/2 in 26 (61.9%) patients in the AL101 6-mg cohort - Treatment-related serious diarrhea occurred in 4 patients (9.5%) in the AL101 6-mg cohort

Table 3. Treatment-Related AEs Reported in ≥15% of Patients

	AL101 6-mg (N=42)					
	Any grade, n (%)	Grade 3/4, n (%)				
Diarrhea	32 (76.2)	6 (14.3)				
Fatigue	20 (47.6)	2 (4.8)				
Nausea	17 (40.5)	2 (4.8)				
Hypophosphatemia	12 (28.6)	1 (2.4)				
Vomiting	11 (26.2)	2 (4.8)				
Decreased appetite	11 (26.2)	1 (2.4)				
Dry mouth	9 (21.4)	0				
Rash	9 (21.4)	0				
Cough	8 (19.0)	0				
Dermatitis acneiform	7 (16.7)	0				
Epistaxis	7 (16.7)	0				
Rash maculo-papular	6 (14.3)	2 (4.8)				
Data cutoff as of July 9, 2021. AE, adverse event.						

Pharmacokinetics

• Pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters for AL101 determined by noncompartmental analysis were similar to PK data from the phase 1 study¹³

 AUCs following the first dose and fourth dose (steady state) were 1.6 and 1.2 fold higher in the 6-mg compared to the 4-mg cohort (**Table 4**)

Table 4. Pharmacokinetic Characteristics of AL101 at the First Dose (Week 1) and at Steady State (Week 4)

Cohort	Week	T _{max} (h), median (min, max) [n]	C _{max} (ng/ mL) GM (GeoCV%) [n]	T _{1/2} (h) GM (GeoCV%) [n]	AUC ₍₀₋₁₆₈₎ (h*ng/ mL) GM (GeoCV%) [n]	CL (mL/h) GM (GeoCV%) [n]	AR C _{max} GM (GeoCV%) [n]	AR AUC ₍₀₋₁₆₈₎ GM (GeoCV%) [n]
4-mg	1	1.00 (1.00, 2.00) [40]	118 (35.9) [40]	63.7 (35.3) [40]	2840 (44.8) [38]	1140 (49.5) [40]	NA	NA
6-mg	1	1.00 (1.00, 4.00) [21]	180 (25.7) [21]	53.7 (36.2) [20]	4540 (37.8) [20]	1150 (40.7) [20]	NA	NA
4-mg	4	1.00 (1.00, 2.00) [36]	131 (41.6) [36]	60.0 (58.8) [33]	4520 (50.1) [31]	950 (58.3) [34]	1.14 (35.6) [35]	1.56 (33.0) [28]
6-mg	4	1.00 (1.00, 1.00) [16]	182 (16.9) [16]	58.7 (42.5) [13]	5440 (31.4) [13]	1050 (35.4) [14]	1.03 (20.0) [16]	1.34 (26.2) [13]
AR, accumulation ratio (week 4/week 1); AUC ₍₀₋₁₆₈₎ , area under the concentration–time curve from time 0 to time 168 h; CL, clearance; C_{max} , maximum plasma concentration; GeoCV%, geometric coefficient of variation %; GM, geometric mean; n, number of patients; NA, not applicable; $t_{1/2}$, terminal half-life; T_{max} , time to maximum plasma concentration.								

- By week 4, mean plasma concentration of AL101 was extrapolated to be above the half-maximal effective concentration (EC₅₀; 24.5 ng/mL) for 2.6 days in the 4-mg cohort, and 3.3 days at 6-mg (Figure 6)
- EC₅₀ was determined using concentration–response modeling of human pharmacodynamic (PD) data from the phase 1 study¹³ (**Figure 6**)

Figure 6. AL101 Plasma Concentration Over Time

Pharmacodynamics

- Changes in the expression of PD biomarkers (NOTCH-induced *Hes1* and *Hes4* genes) were assessed in peripheral whole blood (PWB) for AL101 4-mg and AL101 6-mg cohorts
- fourth doses
- Messenger RNA expression was determined by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction
- Reductions in expression of PD biomarkers in PWB observed The mean peak Hes1 and Hes4 inhibition (change from baseline) observed after the first dose of AL101 was >75% for both cohorts, with the 6-mg cohort slightly higher (**Figure 7**)
- At week 4 steady state trough (predose and day 7), *Hes1* and *Hes4* mean inhibition was sustained at about 35% and 55%, respectively for the 4-mg cohort, and higher in the 6-mg cohort at about 60% and 80% (**Figure 7**)

Figure 7. Mean *Hes1* and *Hes4* Change From Baseline Over Time

- PWB was collected predose and at 7 hours, 1 day, and 7 days after the first and

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Safety of AL101 6-mg QW

- AL101 6-mg QW treatment in patients with ACC appeared to be well tolerated with manageable side effects that were similar to those seen in the 4-mg cohort with no new AEs specific to the 6-mg dose. These AEs were all consistent with those noted in trials with GSIs
- The most common TRAEs of any grade were diarrhea (76%), fatigue (48%), nausea (41%), hypophosphatemia (29%), vomiting (26%), and decreased appetite (26%)
- Most of these TRAEs were of grade 1/2 severity
- Serious TRAEs were reported in 31% of patients
- TEAEs leading to discontinuation were observed in 26% of patients - Two patients had a grade 4 TRAE (one with seizure and one with druginduced liver injury), and 1 patient died resulting from acute respiratory
- distress syndrome Efficacy of AL101 6-mg QW
- DCR (PR + SD) by investigator assessment was noted in 23 of 33 patients (70%), as compared with 29 of 41 patients (71%) in the AL101 4-mg cohort,
- Best response of PR achieved in 3 of 33 patients (9%), as compared with 6 of 41 patients (15%) in the AL101 4-mg cohort The median PFS was 3.7 months in both 6-mg and 4-mg cohorts
- The median OS was 9.1 months, as compared with 9.3 months in the AL101 4-mg cohort
- Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics
- PK data shows mean plasma AL101 concentration AUC in week 1 and at steady state was 1.6 fold and 1.2 fold higher, respectively, in the 6-mg cohort compared to 4-mg
- NOTCH inhibition (mean *Hes4* inhibition) in PWB was sustained at >50% for AL101 4-mg and >75% for AL101 6-mg at steady state
- The increase in AL101 dose from 4 mg QW to 6 mg QW, while resulting in increased exposure and NOTCH pathway inhibition in peripheral blood mononuclear cells, did not translate into increased efficacy, possibly due to the involvement of other pathways
- The study is ongoing and the results, including additional biomarkers, will be updated when the study is complete

REFERENCES

- 1. Bell D, et al. Ann Diagn Pathol. 2014;18(1):10-13.
- 2. Ferrarotto R, et al. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8(47):81725-81726.
- 3. Dillon PM, et al. *Head Neck.* 2016;38(4):620-627.
- 4. Ellington CL, et al. Cancer. 2012;118(18):4444-4451.
- 5. Ferrarotto R, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:352-360.
- 6. Oplatek A, et al. Laryngoscope. 2010;120(1):65-70.
- 7. Sridharan V, et al. Cancer Immunol Res. 2016;4(8):679-687.
- 8. Ho AS, et al. *J Clin Invest*. 2019;129(10):4276-4289.
- 9. Morris LGT, et al. JAMA Oncol. 2017;3(2):244-255.
- 10. Gavai AV, et al. ACS Med Chem Lett. 2015;6(5):523-527.
- 11. Tian G, et al. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(31):28968-28975.
- 12. Ferrarotto R, et al. *Cancer Res.* 2019;79(suppl 13):Abstract 4885.
- 13. El-Khoueiry AB, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(suppl 15):Abstract 2515.
- 14. Ferrarotto R, et al. Ann Oncol. 2020;37(suppl 4):Abstract 919MO.
- 15. Ferrarotto R, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(suppl 15):Abstract TPS6098.
- 16. Purow B. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2012;727:305-319.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Professional medical writing support, provided by Nikola Vojtov, PhD, Emily Cullinan, PhD, CMPP, and Francesca Balordi, PhD, of The Lockwood Group (Stamford, CT, USA), in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP3) guidelines, was funded by Ayala Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (Wilmington, DE, USA).

FUNDING

This study was sponsored by Ayala Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

DISCLOSURES

A.L. Ho: clinical trial (ACCURACY) funding, honoraria for advisory board, travel/accommodation support for an investigator meeting related to ACCURACY from Ayala Pharmaceuticals; advisory board and stock options from Rgenta Therapeutics; data safety monitor board at AffyImmune Therapeutics; advisory board at Exelixis and Prelude Therapeutics; clinical trial funding, honoraria for advisory board, and travel/accommodation support from Merck; clinical trial funding and honoraria for advisory board/ speakers' bureau from Novartis; clinical trial funding and honoraria for advisory board from Eisai, Bristol Myers Squibb, and AstraZeneca; clinical trial funding and consulting fees from Genentech/ Roche; clinical trial funding from Celldex Therapeutics, Bayer, Eli Lilly and Company, Astellas Pharma Daiichi Sankyo, Allos Therapeutics, and Pfizer (through National Comprehensive Cancer Network); honoraria for advisory board from Sanofi Genzyme, Sun Pharma, Regeneron, and TRM Oncology; clinical trial funding and travel/conference fees support from Kura Oncology; travel/conference fees support from Ignyta; travel/accommodation support from Janssen; consulting fees from CureVac; and honoraria for speaking engagement from Medscape.

Email: hoa@mskcc.org ©2021 Ayala Pharmaceuticals, Inc. All rights reserved.