

Phase III postneoadjuvant study evaluating Sacituzumab Govitecan, an Antibody Drug Conjugate in primary HER2-negative breast cancer patients with high relapse risk after standard neoadjuvant treatment – SASCIA

#1960

Background

Patients with triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) without a pathologic complete response^{1,2,3} as well as hormone receptor (HR)-positive/HER2-negative patients with a CPS+EG (clinical, pathologic stage + estrogen receptor status and grade) score ≥3 or 2 with ypN+⁴ have a high risk of recurrence. In high-risk patients, post-neoadjuvant therapy can significantly improve survival.^{5,6,7,8} Sacituzumab govitecan (SG) has shown high activity in heavily pretreated patients with metastatic TNBC⁹ and HR-positive/HER2-negative BC,^{10,11} even after prior immune-checkpoint inhibitors or CDK4/6 and mTOR inhibitors. Efficacy in TNBC was confirmed in the phase III ASCENT trial,¹² irrespective of Trop-2 expression or gBRCA1/2 status.¹³ A phase III trial in a HR-positive cohort is ongoing.¹⁴

Based on these studies, SG might be an ideal therapy against the resistant residual disease after standard neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) regardless of HR status.

Study Overview

SASCIA (NCT04595565) is a phase III, prospective, multi-center, randomized, open label, parallel group study in patients with HER2-negative BC with residual disease after NACT at high risk of recurrence with 1:1 allocation to SG or treatment of physician's choice (TPC). In patients with HR-positive BC, endocrine-based therapy will be administered according to local guidelines. SASCIA will randomize 1200 patients with centrally confirmed HER2negative, HR-positive (≥1% positive stained cells) or HR-negative BC assessed preferably on tumor tissue from post-neoadjuvant residual invasive disease.

Objectives and Endpoints

Primary objective:

To compare invasive disease-free survival (iDFS) between patients treated with SG vs. TPC Secondary objectives (selection):

- To compare overall survival (key secondary objective) and distant DFS between groups.
- To compare safety, compliance, patient reported outcome and quality of life.
- To explore the predictive value of markers (including immune markers) for SG.
- To explore ctDNA dynamics as early predictors of response.

Figure 1: Biomaterial collection							
	Screening Phase	Treatment Phase				Follow-up Phase	
	Prior to Rando	prior to start of therapy (pre-treatment)	day1 cycle 3 pre-dose	day1 cycle 6 pre-dose	at EOT	Recurrence (at 1 st relapse)	
FFPE tumor tissue*	X					X	
Plasma ctDNA		Х			х	x	
Serum		x	x	x	Х	x	•
Whole Blood		Х	Х	х			

*FFPE from pre-neoadjuvant core biopsy (breast) and FFPE post-neoadjuvant surgically removed tissue from breast for central pathology) or postneoadjuvant surgically removed tissue from involved lymph node if no residual tumor from breast is available, in case of bilateral BC blocks from both sides are obligatory. Abbreviations: ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; EOT, End of Treatment; FFPE, Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded;



Jd	m
•	5
	6
٠	Н
•	O
	e





SASCIA is a phase III study investigating the efficacy and safety of SG compared to TPC in patients with BC with residual disease after NACT at high risk of recurrence. Recruitment has started in December 202 an estimated 36 months (42 patients per month). As of 25th August 2021, 103/1200 patients have been Germany. International study groups will join soon.

Frederik Marmé¹, Claus Hanusch², Jenny Furlanetto³, Carsten Denkert⁴, Theresa Link⁵, Michael Untch⁶, Jens-Uwe Blohmer⁷, Christian Jackisch⁸, Mattea Reinisch⁹, Kerstin Rhiem¹⁰, Andreas Schneeweiss¹¹, Christine Solbach¹², Laura Schöllhorn³, Peter A. Fasching¹³, Julia Rey³, Sibylle Loibl³ on behalf of the study groups. 1. Universitätsfrauenklinik Mannheim, Germany; 2. Rotkreuzklinikum München, Germany; 3. Germany; 5. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany; 3. Germany; 4. Institut für Pathologie Philipps-Universität Marburg, Germany; 5. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany; 5. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität Dresden, Dresden, Germany; 5. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany; 5. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany; 5. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Technische Universität Dresden, Germany; 5 6. Helios Kliniken Berlin-Buch, Germany; 7. Gynäkologie mit Brustzentrum, Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany; 10. Zentrum Familiärer Brust- und Eierstockkrebs, Universitätsklinikum Köln, Germany; 11. Nationales Centrum für Tumorerkrankungen, Universitätsklinikum und Deutsches Krebsforschungszentrum, Heidelberg, Germany; 12. University Hospital Erlangen, Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen-EMN, Erlangen, Germany; 13. Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Hospital Erlangen, Comprehensive Cancer Center Erlangen-EMN, Erlangen, Germany; 13.



Abbreviations: CPS+EG, clinical, pathological stage + estrogen receptor status and grade) score; HR, hormone receptor NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; pCR, pathologic complete response; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer

Key Inclusion Criteria

• Patients with residual invasive disease after NACT at high risk of recurrence defined by either:

- HR-negative: any residual invasive disease > ypT1mi
- HR-positive: CPS+EG score ≥ 3 or CPS+EG score 2 and ypN+ using local ER and grade assessed on c taken before NACT.
- Patients must have received taxane-based NACT for 16 weeks (anthracyclines are permitted):
 - This period must include 6 weeks of a taxane-containing NACT
 - For patients with progressive disease that occurred after at least 6 weeks of taxane-containing NA treatment period of less than 16 weeks is also eligible.
- An interval of less than 16 weeks since the date of final surgery or less than 10 weeks from completing (whichever occurs last) and the date of randomization is required.
- Immune checkpoint inhibitor / immunotherapy during NACT is allowed.
- Radiotherapy should be delivered before the start of study treatment.

Conclusions

The trial was financially supported by Gilead Sciences, Inc.



Abbreviations: TPC, Treatment of physician's choice

Key Exclusion Criteria

core biopsies ACT, a total g radiotherapy	 Patients with definitive clinical or radiologic evidence of stage IV cancer (metass Patients with a history of any malignancy are ineligible with the following exception of the patient has been disease-free for at least 5 years and is at low risk for recurrence. CIS of the cervix, basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas of the skin. Severe and relevant co-morbidity that would interact with the application of cylin the study, including Gilbert's disease, Crigler-Najjar-Syndrom, known hepatit positivity or known autoimmune disease (other than diabetes, vitiligo, or stable Any condition that interferes with the safe administration of the treatment of present of live attenuated vaccines within 30 days prior to study entry or within chemotherapy. Known or suspected congestive heart failure (>NYHA I) and/or coronary heart of History of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, organizing pneumonia, drug-induced pneumonitis or active pneumonitis on chest CT scan. Known allergic reactions to irinotecan.
	References
HER2-negative 20 and will take randomized in	1. Hahnen et al. JAMA Oncol 2017; 2. Sikov et al. J Clin Oncol 2015; 3. Petrelli et al. Breast Cancer Re 2016. 5. Masuda et al. N Engl J Med 2017; 6. von Minckwitz et al. N Engl J Med. 2019; 7. Von Minck J Clin Oncol 2021. 9. Bardia et al. N Engl J Med 2019; 10. Bardia et al. J Clin Oncol 2018; 11. Kalinsky Ann Oncol 2020; 13. Hurvitz et al. Cancer Res 2021; 14. Rugo et al. Future Oncol 2020.

This presentation is the intellectual property of the author/presenter. Contact them at publications@gbg.de for permission to reprint and/or distribute.



static disease) are not eligible. ptions: irrence of that malignancy.

ytotoxic agents or the participation tis B, hepatitis C, known HIV le thyroid disease). physician's choice (in TPC arm). n 30 days of receiving

disease. pneumonitis, idiopathic

es Treat 2014; 4. Marmé et al. Eur J Cancer kwitz et al. Eur J Cancer 2016; 8 Loibl et al. / et al. Ann Oncol 2020; 12. Bardia et al.