# Final results on efficacy and patient reported outcomes (PRO) of a randomized phase II trial investigating nivolumab switch-maintenance after TKI induction in metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma (mRCC) patients (NIVOSWITCH)

1 Department of Urology and Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 2 Department of Medical Oncology, University Hospital Essen, Germany | 3 Clinic for Hematology, University Hospital Essen, Germany | 3 Clinic for Hematology, University Hospital Essen, Germany | 4 Department of Urology, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Dresden, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, University of Magdeburg, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 5 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 6 Department of Urology, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 7 Onkologie, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 7 Onkologie, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 8 Niedergelassene internistische Onkologie, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 8 Niedergelassene internistische Onkologie, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 9 Onkologie, St. Antonius Hospital, Eschweiler, Germany | 9 Onkologie, St. Antonius Hospital, St. Antonius Hospita Göttingen, Germany | 9 University Hospital Frankfurt, Germany | 10 Helios Klinikum Erfurt, Germany | 11 Department of Medical Oncology and Hematology, University Hospital Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland | 12 Onkologische Gemeinschaftspraxis, Gütersloh, Germany | 13 Clinic for Oncology and Hematology, Krankenhaus Nordwest, Frankfurt, Germany | 14 Urologicum Duisburg, Germany | 17 Hämatologie / Onkologie, Klinik Dr. Hancken, Stade, Germany | 18 Urologie-Heinsberg, Heinsberg, Germany | 19 Department of Hematology, Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Internal Medicine (Tumor Research) and Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Internal Medicine (Tumor Research) and Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany | 20 Interdisciplinary Genitourinary Oncology at the West-German Cancer Center, Clinic for Urology, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Gen

## Background

In mRCC combinations of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) and checkpoint inhibitors (CPI) are considered standards of care

### Primary objective:

• To assess the survival benefit from an early switch-maintenance approach (CPI after TKI) in mRCC.

Secondary objective:

- Investigating whether 1st line switchа maintenance approach (CPI after TKI) improved outcome in mRCC.
- Impact of switch-maintenance approach on PROs and thus quality of life over time

Parameter		Nivolumab n=25	TKI n=24
Age	Mean ± SD	63.9 ± 9.5	66.4 ± 8.5
	Median (Range)	65 (35-78)	66 (48-79)
Gender	Female	4 (16%)	5 (21%)
	Male	21 (84%)	19 (79%)
MSKCC overall risk assessment	Favorable risk group	8 (32%)	7 (29%)
	Intermediate risk group	16 (64%)	16 (67%)
	High risk group	1 (4%)	1 (4%)
Duration of therapy	Median (Range)	3.9 (2.5-8.3)	9.7 (5.7-19)
Follow up	Median (Range)	26.3 (1.3-45.6)	26.2 (3-43.8)

**Table 1:** Table 1. Main patient characeteristics. Data are displayed for both groups and in total.

	Nivolumab n=25	TKI n=24
ORR	5 (20%)	12 (52%)
CR	0 (0%)	2 (9%)
PR	5 (20%)	10 (43%)
SD	6 (24%)	6 (26%)
PD	12 (48%)	3 (13%)
NE	2 (8%)	2 (9%)

**Table 2.** Main patient characeteristics. Data are displayed for both groups and in total.

Darr C<sup>1</sup>, Zschäbitz S<sup>2</sup>, Ivanyi P<sup>3</sup>, Wirth M<sup>4</sup>, Staib P<sup>5</sup>, Schostak M<sup>6</sup>, Müller L<sup>7</sup>, Metz M<sup>8</sup>, Bergmann L<sup>9</sup>, Steiner T<sup>10</sup>, Lorch A<sup>11</sup>, Schütt P<sup>12</sup>, Rafiyan MR<sup>13</sup>, Hellmis E<sup>14</sup>, Hinke A<sup>15</sup>, Mänz M<sup>16</sup>, Meiler J<sup>17</sup>, Kretz T<sup>18</sup>, Flörcken A<sup>19</sup>, Grünwald<sup>20</sup>

## Methods

### **Participants**

- mRCC patients with partial remission (PR) or stable disease (SD), with ECOG 0-2 and adequate organ function
- First-line treatment with a TKI for 10-12 weeks Assesments
- Patient-reported outcomes (PRO) were assessed by the FACT Kidney Symptom Index (FKSI-15)
- The trial was recruiting patients between 2016 and was prematurely closed on August 2018 due to low accrual rate
- Data base was closed on December 2020

## Results





Figure 2. Overall survival (OS) of Nivolumab and tyrosin kinase inhibitors (TKI).



9 0.4

0.2

0.0

Response to TKI induction therapy was PR in 59% and SD in 41% of patients ORR from randomization favored TKI continuation with 16 vs. 48% (p=0.03) PFS was 3.0 vs. 11.9 mo. (HR = 2.57 [95% CI: 1.36 – 4.89]) in favor for TKI continuation. Mean FKSI-15 score at therapy initiation to end of therapy showed no significant difference Median TTD favoured NIVO (NR) vs. TKI (6.9 mo), but difference remained insignificant (P=0.16) Median OS was not reached. 2-year OS was 64% for NIVO and 66% for TKI treatment (HR = 1.12 [95% CI: 0.43 – 2.89]; P=0.82)

## Conclusion

- was not detected

### Limitations:

- Small sample size

### Parameter



Patients with at leas AE (all grades)

Patients with at leas AE of grade 3-5

Patients with SAE

Interruption/modific of schedule

Dose adjustment or discontinuation

Discontinuation due toxicity

Table 2. Overview of adverse event reports.

The presenting author has no clonflicts of interest to declare. Authoring Group Name: IAG-N of the German Cancer Society Sponsor, funding source: AIO-Studien-gGmbH, financial support BMS

Contact Christopher.Darr@uk-essen.de Viktor.Grünwald@uk-essen.de



Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier estimate of the time to deterioration.

Maintenance-switch with nivolumab did not improve efficacy vs. continuation of TKI therapy Continuation of TKI therapy achieved a higher response rate (52% vs. 20%) and significantly higher PFS vs. Nivolumab switch-maintenance therapy (HR 2.57; p=0.003)

Although a lower degree of grade ≥3 AEs for NIVO was observed (56% vs. 71%), a PRO benefit

# Early discontinuation of the study

Selection of TKI-sensitive patients

	Nivolumab n=25	TKI n=24
AEs per	10.6	13.2
st one	24 (96%)	24 (100%)
st one	14 (56%)	17 (71%)
	12 (48%)	12 (50%)
cation	0 (0%)	6 (25%)
	4 (16%)	13 (54%)
e to	1 (4%)	4 (17%)



