



# Determining the value of routine Palliative Prognostic Index (PPI) calculations in a palliative care unit

D.A. Thaker, A. Veltre, A. Smith, C. Orth, B. Stafford; Palliative Care Unit, Redcliffe Hospital, Brisbane, QLD, AU

Dr. Darshit A Thaker

Medical Oncologist & Pall. Med. Physician

RDH, RBWH, HBH

QLD Health, Australia

Senior Lecturer, University of Queensland



### Disclosure slide

• I have nothing to declare.

#### INTRODUCTION

- Its difficult to provide accurate prognosis to terminally ill patients.
- Most patients want full disclosure and honest opinion about prognosis.
- A study confirmed that only 20% of physicians could accurately predict the prognosis.\*
- Palliative Prognostic Index was proposed by Morita et al. in 1999.

<sup>\*</sup>Christakis NA, Lamont EB, Smith JL, Parkes CM. Extent and determinants of error in doctors' prognoses in terminally ill patients: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2000; 320: 469–473.



## Palliative Prognostic Index

Factor	Partial score
PPS 10-20%	4
PPS 30-50%	2.5
PPS >50%	0
Delirium	4
Dyspnoea at rest	3.5
Oral intake mouthfuls or less	2.5
Oral intake reduced but more than mouthfuls	1
Oral intake normal	0
Oedema	1

## Palliative Prognostic Index

- Higher the score the worse the prognosis.
- Score > 6, 3 week survival was predicted with a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 85%.
- Prospective study on prognostication based on clinical experience (N=150) vs. employing the PPI (N=108).\*
- PPI demonstrated a reduction in incorrect survival prediction by 28 days or more (42% vs 23%, P<0.01).</li>

<sup>\*</sup>Morita T, Tsunoda J, Inoue S, Chihara S. Improved accuracy of physicians' survival prediction for terminally ill cancer patients using the Palliative Prognostic Index. Palliative Medicine; September 2001, Vol. 15 Issue 5 p 419



#### AIM

- Revalidation of the Index.
- Assess the usefulness of the Index in Cancer and Non-Cancer Palliative patient population.
- Assess the usefulness of the wkly scoring of the Index.

#### Methods

- 106 patients admitted over a three month period in 16 bedded palliative care unit were included in the study.
- Two main categories: (A) Cancer diagnosis (B) Non-cancer diagnosis
- Further subgroups based on the PPI score on admission:
- ➤ Group 1: PPI < 4
- $\triangleright$  Group 2: PPI of > 4 but ≤ 6
- $\triangleright$  Group 3: PPI of > 6.
- During admission, the PPI score was reassessed every week and recorded.
- Outcome of each patient was recorded.

# Results: All patients

All Patients	Number of Patients	Median Survival (days)	Average Survival (days)	Males	Females	Mean Age (years)
Group 1 (PPI<4)	29	32	70	22	7	64
Group 2 (PPI 4-6)	15	14	24	8	7	70
Group 3 (PPI>6)	62	4.5	10	33	28	75
Total	106			64	42	



### Results: Category A (Cancer patients)

Cancer Patients	Number of Patients	Median Survival (days)	Average Survival	Males	Females	Mean Age
Group 1 (PPI<4)	27	52	72	21	6	67
Group 2 (PPI 4-6)	15	15	17	8	7	71
Group 3 (PPI>6)	34	5	8.5	18	16	74
Total	76			47	29	



### Results: Category B (Non-cancer patients)

Non- cancer Patients	Number of Patients	Median Survival (days)	Average Survival (days)	Males	Females	Mean Age (years)
Group 1 (PPI<4)	3	50	138	2	1	60
Group 2 (PPI 4-6)	00					
Group 3 (PPI>6)	27	4	9	15	12	78
Total	30			17	13	

• 12 patients had PPI changed throughout the admission, from lower PPI to higher PPI.

• When a PPI score >6 was used as a predictor of <3 week survival for the entire population (N=106), it had a sensitivity of 77% (95%CI 66-86%) and specificity of 70% (50-86%).

 For cancer population (N=76), PPI score >6 had a sensitivity of 69% (95%CI 55–80%) and specificity of 72% (95%CI 50-89%) to predict survival of < 3 weeks.

 For non cancer population (N=30), PPI score >6 had a sensitivity of 96% (95% CI 80-100%) and specificity of 60% (95% CI 15-95%) to predict survival of < 3 weeks.</li>

### All Patients

	Positive Predictive Value (%)	Negative Predictive Value (%)	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)
PPI <4	94	24	72.5	70
PPI >6	87	38.5	77	70



### Conclusion

- Cancer patients with lower PPI (< 4) on admission had an average survival of >6 weeks.
- Weekly calculation of PPI during admission can help to predict changing prognosis and notify patients and their families in time.
- Dynamic PPI scoring is also beneficial for discharge planning if low score remains stable during admission.
- Most of the Patients with a non-cancer diagnosis had PPI of >6. It confirms that this group of patients are referred to the palliative care unit for end of life care and routine use of PPI is unlikely to be beneficial for prognostication.

#### Limitations and Future Directions

- Small single centre study.
- Only inpatients were included.
- Assessment of PPS and delirium can vary amongst clinicians and can affect calculation of PPI.

 Further evaluation of PPI in outpatient setting and community based setting is required.

