



# Optimal assessment of an additional lung nodule in a patient with potential for cure.

Christophe Dooms MD PhD Dept of Respiratory Diseases University Hospitals Leuven Belgium

UZ Leuven Herestraat 49 B - 3000 Leuven www.uzleuven.be tel. +32 16 33 22 1 UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS LEUVEN

# Introduction 'additional nodule'

Assessment / treatment of suspected lung cancer with additional pulmonary nodule = a challenge !

- Biologic nature is largely speculative.
  - Benign vs. Metastatic vs. Synchronous cancer
- Literature is equivocal.
  - Selection bias : surgical literature series
  - Terminology : satellite vs. additional nodule
  - Identification : on imaging vs. on pathology
  - Nodule = rounded-irregular opacity, well-poorly defined measuring up to 3cm in greatest diameter

# Incidence 'additional nodule'

Resectable lung cancer on CT scan with additional **solid** pulmonary nodule(s)

= 15-26% of patients

(Yuan Eur Radiol 2003;13:2447 - Keogan Clin Radiol 1993;48:94 - Ruppert Lung Cancer 2011;74:233)

- $\rightarrow$  majority = benign
- $\rightarrow$  up to 8% = malignant

(Yuan Eur Radiol 2003;13:2447 - Kunitoh Cancer 1992;70:1876 - Shen Chest 2007;132 - Ruppert Lung Cancer 2011;74:233)

#### 1. Typical solid cancer & additional small solid nodule.





#### MDTB decision : suspected lung cancer RUL cT1aN0M0

#### 1. Typical solid cancer & additional small solid nodule.



Known for >4 years with EGFR-Mt lungadenocarcinoma RUL treated with EGFR-TKI and (2012) SBRT of RUL for oligoprogression MDTB : lungadenocarcinoma RUL cT3N0M1a(LLL: new & FDG avid)

#### 2. Typical solid cancer and additional solid cancer.



#### MDTB : SqCCa RUL cT2bN0M0 & SqCCa apex LLL cT2aN0M0

#### 3. Lung cancer with additional (semisolid) GGO.



Semisolid WD invasive adCa RML & Solid MD adenoCa RLL pT1a(2)N0M0



adenoCa RUL rcT1b(4)N0M0

#### 4. Typical lung cancer with additional solid nodule.





SqCCa LUL cT3(same lobe)N0M0

SCLC RLL cT4(RML)N2M0

#### 4. Typical lung cancer with additional solid nodule.



Lungadenocarcinoma LUL cT1bN0M1a(RLL: 14mm and FDG avid)

- Bonchoscopy with EBUS-MP TBLB and CT-TTP RLL
- Videomediastinoscopy : negative in 4 MLN stations

Presentation 'additional nodule' on CT	Outcome category	Staging nomenclature
Typical solid cancer & additional small solid nodule	very likely benign <i>or</i> to be explored	cTanyNany M0/1a
Typical solid cancer & additional typical solid cancer	synchronous disease (SPLC) 1.5% incidence	2 separate cTNM
Lung cancer (±GGO) & additional (semisolid) GGO lesion(s)	pattern of multifocal disease (MFLC)	cT(m)NM with NM applying to all T(m) (T : lungs = one organ)
Typical solid cancer & additional solid nodule	same histology - LC with add nodule	cT3(same lobe) cT4(diff lobe ipsi lung) cM1a(other lung)

- Current understanding of ability to distinguish between 'second primry' and 'metastatic'.
  - Martini & Melamed
  - 50 cases
  - 18 synchronous 32 metachr.
  - Mostly squam cell carcinoma

- = for clinical decision making
- = not for definitive proof of origin

Synchronous Lung Tumors			
Anatomic Location	Identical Histology	Different Histology	
Same segment	Metastasis	Synchronous primary	
Different Segment	Metastasis: cancer in shared lymph basin or systemic metastasis or no CIS	Synchronous primary	
	Synchronous primary: no cancer in shared lymph basin and no systemic metastasis and CIS	Synchronous primary	

Abbreviation: CIS, carcinoma in situ.

Data from Martini N, Melamed MR. Multiple primary lung cancers. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1975;70:606–12.

- Current understanding of ability to distinguish between 'second primry' and 'metastatic'.
  - Detterbeck F, et al. ACCP
    - Chest 2003;123:248.
      - histology and location
      - timing
      - nodal disease

#### Multiple primary lung cancers

- Same histology, anatomically separated
  - Cancers in different lobes and no N2,3 involvement and no systematic metastases
- Same histology, temporally separated
  - $\circ \ge$  4-y interval between cancers and no systemic metastases from either cancer
- Different histology
  - Different histologic type or different molecular genetic characteristics or arising separately from foci of carcinoma in situ

Hematogenously spread pulmonary metastases

- Same histology and multiple systemic metastases
- Same histology, in different lobes, and presence of N2,3 involvement, or <2-y interval</li>
- = for clinical decision making
- = not for definitive proof of origin

- Current understanding of clonality :
  - data set : 20 patients / 24 'pairs' of tumours
  - data set : 76% of cases were pairs of adenocarcinoma

	'primaries'	'metastases'
Clinocopathologic criteria by Martini & Melamed	21	3
Genomics (array CGH, multiplex mutation analysis)*	14	8
Comprehensive histologic assessment**	16	8

\* 2 unaccessable ; \*\* Comprehensive histologic assessment = cytologic features, patterns of stroma, necrosis, growth pattern, variants, ...)

Histology (CHA) matched with genomics in 91%

 $\rightarrow$  histology may be almost as good as genomics.

Girard N, Travis W, et al. Am J Surg Pathol 2009.

- Multiple separate foci with genetic similarity, or mutant clones which migrate with variable progression, or both ?
- "Multifocal" AdenoCa

Independent synch. prim. disease Lepidic predominant invasive non-mucinous adenocarcinoma

(formerly nonmucinous BAC)



#### "Multifocal" AdenoCa

Intrapulm. aerogeous spread primary Genetic similarity of lesions = stage IV Invasive mucinous adenocacrinoma

(formerly mucinous BAC)



### **Evaluation of T3 add nodule same lobe**

- Following assessment should be carried out :
  - integrated PET/CT : to exclude M1b
  - CT/MRI brain : to exclude M1b
  - invasive mediastinal nodal staging

#### Literature data on resected T3 :

- # up to 50% preop not detected
- # 30% pN2 disease
- # nearly 100% R0-resection rate
- # average 5-yr survival rate :
  - center series : 37 %
  - registries : 27 %

	No. of	% with Multiple		% Survival	
First Author	Patients	Nodules	Continent	2-Year	5-Year
Nagai <sup>165</sup>	316	-	Asia	46	27
Okumura <sup>166</sup>	152	-	Asia	52	34
Okada <sup>151</sup>	51	-	Asia	52	30
Yano <sup>167</sup>	39	-	Asia	57	36
Shimizu <sup>168</sup>	37	-	Asia	41	27
Osaki <sup>152</sup>	36	-	Asia	46	27
Watanabe <sup>153</sup>	24 <sup>a</sup>	-	Asia	36	22
Lee <sup>113</sup>	23	-	Asia	-	30
Yoshino <sup>169</sup>	22	-	Asia	34	34
Fukuse <sup>170</sup>	20	12	Asia	58	37
Ruffini <sup>154</sup>	50	-	Europe	-	28
Oliaro <sup>172</sup>	39	49	Europe	49	20
Trousse <sup>155</sup>	35	-	Europe	-	52
Terzi <sup>156</sup>	32	-	Europe	70	42
Port <sup>172</sup>	53	19	N. Am	73	48
Pennathur <sup>157</sup>	51	-	N. Am	-	26
Rao <sup>158</sup>	35	-	N. Am	-	57
Battafarano <sup>116</sup>	27	-	N. Am	70	(66) <sup>b</sup>
Bryant <sup>159</sup>	26	-	N. Am	75	57
Average <sup>c</sup>				54	37
Registry Datab	ase Studies	d			
IASLC <sup>160</sup>	363	-	Global	50	28
$CCR^{161}$	422	-	N. Am	40	23
SEER <sup>162</sup>	633	-	N. Am	44	35
SEER <sup>163</sup>	2,285	-	N. Am	43	24

Kozower et al. Chest 2013;143:e369s.

### Impact of PET/CT on TNM staging

Study	Year	N	Population	Study question	Comparison	Findings
Fischer et al.	2009	189	Resectable Stage I-III	Number of 'futile	CS -> S	52% vs. 35%
			NSCLC	thoracotomies'	PET-CT -> S	(P=0.05)
Maziak et al.	2009	337	Resectable stage I-IIIA	Proportion in whom correct	CS -> S	7% vs. 14%
			NSCLC	upstaging	PET-CT -> S	( <i>P</i> =0.046)
Ung et al.	2009	310	Unresectable Stage III	Proportion in whom correct	CS -> RT	3% vs. 15%.
			NSCLC	upstaging	PET-CT -> RT	(P=0.0002)
Yi et al.	2013	300	Resectable Stage I-IIIA	Proportion in whom correct	$PET-CT \rightarrow S$	22% vs. 26%
			NSCLU	upstaging	WIKI-PEI -> 3	(P=0.43)

### Impact of PET/CT on TNM staging

Study	Year	Ν	Stage I-II	<b>PET impact</b>	Stage IV
Fischer et al.	2009	189	33%	- 17% futile thoracotomies	+ 11%
Maziak et al.	2009	337	90%	+ 7 % correct overall upstaging	+ 4%
Ung et al.	2009	310	0%	+ 12% correct overall upstaging	+ 10%
Yi et al.	2013	300	97%	NR	+ 9-13%

Fischer et al. NEJM 2009;361:32. Maziak et al. Ann Intern Med 2009;151:221. Ung et al. J Clin Oncol 2009;27:15s(7548). Yi et al. Cancer 2013;119:1784-91.

### ESTS mediastinal nodal staging algorithm



De Leyn et al. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2014;45:787.

#### Mediastinoscopy vs Endosonography for Mediastinal Nodal Staging of Lung Cancer A Randomized Trial

	SS	ES+SS	<i>p</i> -Value
	N=118	N=123	
N2/N3 detected ; n (%)	41 (35)	62 (50)	0.02
Sensitivity, % (95% Cl)	79 (66-88)	94 (85-98)	0.02
NPV, % (95% CI)	86 (76-92)	93 (84-97)	0.18
	SS	ES	<i>p</i> -Value
Sensitivity, % (95% Cl)	79 (66-88)	85 (74-92)	0.47
NPV, % (95% CI)	86 (76-92)	85 (75-92)	0.99
complications	6%	1%	0.03

Annema et al. JAMA 2010;304:2245.

# ASTER 2 (selected ACCP C).

	ES	ES+SS
	N=100	N=100
N2/N3 detected ; n	10	17
Sensitivity* (95% Cl)	0.38 (0.18-0.57)	0.73 (0.55-0.91)
NPV (95% CI)	0.81 (0.71-0.91)	0.91 (0.83-0.98)
NLR (95% CI)	0.18 (0.13-0.27)	0.09 (0.04-0.17)

\*based on multiple imputation analysis

Dooms et al. Chest 2014; Epub ahead of print.

### **Evaluation of T4 different lobe same lung**

- Following assessment should be carried out :
  - integrated PET/CT : to exclude M1b
  - CT/MRI brain : to exclude M1b
  - invasive mediastinal nodal staging

#### "Presumed metastasis"

# average 5-yr survival rate for

resected T4 disease :

- center series : 19 %
- IASLC registry : 22 %

# nearly 100% R0-resection rate

	No. of	% Survival		
First Author	Patients	2-Year	5-Year	
Nagai <sup>165</sup>	129	42	22	
Okumura <sup>166</sup>	48	31	11	
Okada <sup>107</sup>	38	49	23	
Ruffini <sup>154</sup>	36	-	28	
Oliaro <sup>171</sup>	35	49	10	
Lee <sup>118</sup>	26	42	31	
Fukuse <sup>170</sup>	21	41	0	
Tung <sup>164</sup>	20	40	28	
Average		42	19	
Registry Data	base Studie			
IASLC <sup>160</sup>	180	40	22	
$CCR^{161}$	745	(26) <sup>a</sup>	(9) <sup>a</sup>	
SEER <sup>162</sup>	3,010	$(18)^{a}$	$(7)^{a}$	
SEER <sup>163</sup>	3,019	$(26)^{a}$	$(8)^{a}$	

Kozower et al. Chest 2013;143:e369s.

### **Evaluation of T4 different lobe same lung**

- Following assessment should be carried out :
  - integrated PET/CT : to exclude M1b
  - CT/MRI brain : to exclude M1b
  - invasive mediastinal nodal staging

#### "Presumed metastasis"

- # worse survival if multiple nodules
- # worse survival if N2 :
  - SEER database : N2 up to 66 %
  - Nagai et al : 5-yr survival 10 %
- # worse survival if **unresected** disease
  - CCR/SEER : 5-yr survival <10 %

	No. of	% Survival		
First Author	Patients	2-Year	5-Year	
Nagai <sup>165</sup>	129	42	22	
Okumura <sup>166</sup>	48	31	11	
Okada <sup>107</sup>	38	49	23	
Ruffini <sup>154</sup>	36	-	28	
Oliaro <sup>171</sup>	35	49	10	
Lee <sup>118</sup>	26	42	31	
Fukuse <sup>170</sup>	21	41	0	
Tung <sup>164</sup>	20	40	28	
Average		42	19	
Registry Data	base Studie			
IASLC <sup>160</sup>	180	40	22	
$CCR^{161}$	745	(26) <sup>a</sup>	(9) <sup>a</sup>	
SEER <sup>162</sup>	3,010	$(18)^{a}$	$(7)^{a}$	
SEER <sup>163</sup>	3,019	$(26)^{a}$	$(8)^{a}$	

Kozower et al. Chest 2013;143:e369s.

When to biopsy the M1a additional nodule other lung?

No, when benign features on CT scan. •

able 1 Features of benign nodules.	Table 2Features suggestive of intrapulmonary lymph nodes.
<ul> <li>igh specificity</li> <li>Calcification (diffuse, popcorn, central, and laminated)</li> <li>Internal fat</li> <li>Polygonal (all surfaces concave or straight unless in contact with a pleural surface)</li> <li>Ovoid (coffee-bean shaped), flat, or tubular</li> <li>Clustering of subcentimetre nodules in an isolated lung segment</li> </ul>	Size • Commonly 3–6 mm (may be <12 mm) Location • Subpleural or within 15 mm of pleural surface • Predominantly lower-zone distribution Shape • Subpleural intrapulmonary lymph nodess to be corrected: half-moon
ow specificity • Solid with no ground glass components • Subpleural • Punctate calcification	<ul> <li>Intraparenchymal: coffee-bean or angular if small</li> <li>Ancillary features         <ul> <li>Linear connection to pleural surface (interlobular septum)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Edey and Hanssell. Clinical Radiology 2009;64:872.

When to biopsy the M1a additional nodule other lung?

• No, when FU recommended for small solid nodule (Fleischner).



When to biopsy the M1a additional nodule other lung?

- No, when benign features on CT scan.
- No, when FU recommended for small solid nodule.
- No, when minimal invasive surgery planned and feasible.
- Yes, when SBRT planned.





- Careful clinical and radiological assessment
  - for distant metastases : integrated PET/CT, CT/MRI brain
  - for mediastinal node metastases : invasive nodal staging
  - # In absence of mediastinal nodal disease "synchrounous LC" 5-yr survival rate 38% ; 50% same histology

De Leyn et al. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2008;34:1215.

# In presence of mediastinal nodal disease "metastatic spread"

				% 5-year Survival		
Study	No. of patients	% Resected	% pN2	All	Same histol	diff histol
SEER <sup>163</sup>	5,382	6	53	3	-	-
CCR <sup>161</sup>	1,148	8	-	<b>2-6</b> <sup>a</sup>	-	-
IASLC <sup>160</sup>	362	2	-	3	-	-

Kozower et al. Chest 2013;143:e369s.

### **Evaluation of multifocal lung cancer (MFLC)**

- Recognise these patients according to clinical features !
- Decreased propensity for nodal/systemic spread, but increased propensity for additional pulmonary foci (GGO).
- Careful preop clinical and radiologic evaluation.
- Careful clinical and nodal evaluation at the time of resection.
- Careful pathologic assessment of T-factor (AIS/MIA/INV).

First Author	No. of patients	Median F/U (mo)	% pN2	% Surg Treated	% Multi- focal	C1 Solid	Г appearan (% GGO) Mixed	ce Pure	% BAC H Mixed	listology Pure	% 5-y Survival
Casali <sup>201</sup>	40	48	-	100	15	-	-	-	-	100	64
Ebright <sup>202</sup>	100	86	-	100	29	-	-	-	53	47	74
Carretta <sup>137</sup>	26	82	-	100	55	71	26	7	10	40	92
Nakata <sup>180</sup>	31	28	6	100	84	28	43	29	69 <sup>a</sup>	31	93
Mun <sup>203</sup>	29	46	0	100	93	0	100	0	29 <sup>b</sup>	71	100
Roberts <sup>192</sup>	14	60	0	100	100	-	-	-	14	57	64
Kim <sup>178</sup>	23	40	0	44	100	0	100	0	26 <sup>b</sup>	69	100
Average								34	59	84	

Kozower et al. Chest 2013;143:e369s.

### **Evaluation of pts considered to have SPLC**

- Careful clinical and radiological assessment :
  - for distant metastases : integrated PET/CT, CT/MRI brain
  - for mediastinal node metastases : mediastinoscopy

			0.4		%	%	% 5-year Survival					
		%	%	% limited	Operative			Ţ				
First Author	No.	incidental"	resected	resection	mortality	All	Resected	pl				
Synchronous												
Finley <sup>120</sup>	175	42	(100) <sup>c</sup>	27	1	52	52	64 <sup>d</sup>				
Trousse <sup>194</sup>	125	-	(100) <sup>c</sup>	14	11	34	34	-				
Riquet <sup>114</sup>	118	-	(100) <sup>c</sup>	16	5	26	26	-				
Rostad <sup>113</sup>	94	79	(100) <sup>c</sup>	16	9	33	33	-				
Chang <sup>133</sup>	92	-	$(100)^{c}$	11	1	35	35	-				
Van Rens <sup>138</sup>	85	32	$(100)^{c}$	13	14	20	20	23				
Fabian <sup>139</sup>	67	-	$(100)^{c}$	60	2	53	53	89				
van Bodegom <sup>101</sup>	64	-	50	34	-	-	-	24 <sup>e</sup>				
Voltolini <sup>140</sup>	50	-	>90	65	7	31	34	-				
Shah <sup>49</sup>	47		(100) <sup>c</sup>	41	2	29	29	90				
De Leyn <sup>112</sup>	36	-	$(100)^{c}$	72	3	38	38	-				
Deschamps <sup>103</sup>	36	42	$(100)^{c}$	21	6	-	16	-				
Vansteenkiste <sup>146</sup>	35	-	(100) <sup>c</sup>	23	9	33	33	-				
Rosengart <sup>104</sup>	33	-	91	33	-	44	-	-				
Jung <sup>142</sup>	32	3	(100) <sup>c</sup>	53 <sup>f</sup>	9	61	61	31				
Ferguson <sup>147</sup>	28	18	68	47	0	0	0	0				
Okada <sup>107</sup>	28	39	(96) <sup>c</sup>	7	0	70	-	79 °				
Kocaturk <sup>143</sup>	26		92	38	8	50	50	-				
Antakli <sup>108</sup>	26	19	92	42	-	5	12	-				
Ribet <sup>109</sup>	24	-	63	40	4							
Average		34	79	34	5	36	33	51				

Kozower et al. Chest 2013;143:e369s.

# Conclusions.

Optimal assessment of additional lung nodule in LC :

- **Does matter** : in 15-26% of pts, but malignant in up to 8%.
- Most current evidence based on surgical series IASLC.
- Categories based on **clinical** feature(s).
- Comprehensive **histologic** subtyping/profiling when biopsied.
- Role of genomic profiling not yet defined.
- Invasive mediastinal staging should be performed.
- **PET/CT** should be performed for more accurate M1b staging.





### Thank you for your attention !

UZ Herest Leuven B - 300

Herestraat 49 B - 3000 Leuven www.uzleuven.be tel. +32 16 33 22 11 UNIVERSITY HOSPITALS LEUVEN