

How to write a successful fellowship grant application

Ramon Salazar, MD, PhD
Catalan Institute of Oncology
(IDIBELL)

Conflict of interest

- None

Summary

- ESMO fellowship opportunities
- ESMO research fellowship application tips
 - Translational Research
 - Clinical Research
- Grant Writing general tips
- Writing a research project proposal
- Fellowship grant specificities: 3 key points
 - Candidate's personal background and profile
 - Motivation letter
 - Training program
 - growing self & training thinking process

ESMO Clinical or Translational Research Fellowships Application

- Completed online application form
- Digital photograph
- Detailed clinical/translational research project proposal including timelines
- Brief statement of project goals and how the acquired techniques will be used in the home institute/country
- Name of host institute and acceptance letter from the person responsible for the project at the host facility
- Letter of recommendation from the candidate's department head
- Motivation Letter
- **All applications must be submitted in English; incomplete applications will not be accepted**

Translational and Clinical Research Fellowships Evaluation (ESMO)

- The Committee will consider the following criteria when reviewing applications and determining funding decisions:
- **The extent and level of previous education (CV)**
- **Motivation letter**
- **Other professional training and publications**
- **Research project**
 - **Quality of the application, overall strategy, methodology, and proposed analyses of the research data as well as and appropriateness to accomplish the specific aims within the grant term.**
 - **Feasibility of the project given the time frame and the budget**

Grant writing general tips

- to be part of the best possible team to accomplish the work proposed
 - to secure collaborators for areas in which you lack experience and training
- difficult to secure the attention of busy senior investigators, but it is a critical step toward securing funding for the work you propose
- grant writing, like any skill, can only be optimized by doing it repeatedly, so practice it!

Grant writing general tips

- Use clear and simple sentence structures, and avoid complicated words.
- Avoid se abbreviations to save space
- Use a reviewer friendly approach where the formatting is simple and the font readable, don't reduce margins to save space. Do not overload
- Organize and use subheadings effectively
- Repeat topic or “mantra” sentences for each section that build the “story” of your grant in a logical and sequential way.
- Be consistent in specific aims and format throughout the application.
- Continuous spell-check, use spell-checking programs before submission, typos are unacceptable
- Review as many times as possible. Ask a colleague from a different field to read through the final draft before submission.

3 key points and the rest

- 1: Personal profile
- 2: Motivation letter is AS IMPORTANT AS anything
- 3: The training program
- 4: The rest: writing a research project proposal

Writing a research project proposal

- The right question
- A good hypothesis
- Aims
- The background and significance
- Preliminary studies
- Methods and design

The right question

- Don't propose any research question that you really do not think you will enjoy for the “long term”.
- the “right” research question should lead to a hypothesis that is testable, that is based upon existing knowledge and fills an existing gap in specific areas of knowledge.
- That can be transformed into a feasible study plan.
- How does one find the “right” research question?
Open your eyes and observe:
 - study: reading/teaching/discussing
 - exploit new technologies
 - patients often provide clues

A good hypothesis

- is feasible, interesting, novel, ethical, manageable in scope, and relevant.
 - Ask for colleagues opinions
- address whether the results of your study will confirm extend, or refute prior findings, or provide new knowledge.
 - is the question too broad or vague to be reasonably answered?
- include only experiments that are feasible
 - You, your team and your host institution have the expertise and resources to conduct

Hulley SB, Cummings SR, Browner WS, et al. *Designing Clinical Research. 2nd ed.*

Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2000.

Aims

- a brief introduction that underscores the clinical relevance of the proposal
- the most important findings to date
- the problem that the proposed research will address
- Each aim maximum 5 lines, 2 to the statement of the aim, 3 to back-up

The background and significance

- What is the current state of knowledge in this field?
- What gaps in knowledge will this project fill?
- More generally, why is this line of research important?

Preliminary studies

- this section also uses the previous results to demonstrate the feasibility of your proposed project by your team
- particularly important for junior investigators where there may be inadequate investigator experience or training for the proposed research, a limited publication record, and/or a team that lacks the skill set required for the research proposed

Design and methods

this is the section where many reviewers begin to read

- re-set “the scene” by refreshing the reviewer regarding the overview for each specific aim.
- use one paragraph to overview each specific aim, and then to deal with each sub-aim separately.
- be clear, concise, yet detailed regarding how you will collect and analyze your data, avoid basic technical details
- ALWAYS include a statistical plan including the tests to perform
 - address threats to both internal and external validity (technical and clinical)
- Anticipate data interpretation and conclusions based on the expected outcome, or on the chance that you find different results than expected

Fellowship grant specificities

- It is more about YOU than a specific attached project, YOU are the asset, YOU are the project
- Society wants fellowship grant recipients to get the best out of their fellowship program
- And then return it to society
- You have to sell yourself
 - Convince the reviewers that you will derive the greatest personal and professional growth from the training program and then return it to society

HOW: 3 key points

- 1: Personal profile
- 2: Motivation letter is AS IMPORTANT AS anything
- 3: The training program (include mentorship committee)

Personal profile and past history

- Previous background and past history coherent with the proposed program
 - Clinical program versus translational program
 - MD focused versus PhD focused
- Personal introspection
 - lab technical skills, bedside manners, statistics, complex problem solving
 - Personality traits, social skills
 - Writing skills, oral skills

Motivation letter

- First thing to read by reviewer.
- Driving force can overcome any challenge, be it personal limitation or environmental
- NOTHING can overcome lack of PASSION

The training program (include mentorship committee)

- The contents of the training program is much more than a list of clinical trials or a fantastic translational research study
- Needs to be coherent with applicant's background
- Focused on the candidate development, not on the trials or projects
- List of deliverables of acquired skills and personal growth developments
 - Include a Timeline table with your aims and activities by year
- Target your grant to the expected audience (i.e., your reviewers)
- Build the program with your mentors
- Obtain feed back from external reviewers if possible

Growing self & Training the thinking process

- Holistic approach to personal training
 - lead yourself, teamwork, cooperation, group dynamics, human psychology, how to communicate, how to deal with conflict, time management, balancing work and life
- We ALL need to change the thinking process in order to innovate
- **Transforming the practice of Oncology is urgent!!!**