# 411P Cost effective analysis of various cancer screening methods in the community-pooled analysis of camps o 5 years from 217-2022

Dr. Suresh VS Attili<sup>1</sup>, Dr Rakesh Sharma<sup>2</sup>, Dr Pradeep K Reddy<sup>1</sup>, DR Praveen K Dadireddy<sup>1</sup>, Dr Mallik S<sup>3</sup>, DR Chinnababu S<sup>1</sup>

1. Continental Cancer center, Hyderabad India 2. National Board of Examinations 3. Singaraju Cancer Foundation

## **Background**

- ❖One woman dies of cervical cancer every 8 minutes in India. For every 2 women newly diagnosed with breast cancer, one woman dies of it in India
- ❖Mortality due to tobacco use in India is estimated at upwards of 3500 persons every day
- ❖Tobacco (smoked and smokeless) use accounted for 3,17,928 deaths (approx) in men and women in 2018.
- Top 4 cancers in India (Oral/cervical breast and lung) are screenable
- ❖With 1.3 Billion population- we need to have a really effective tools for screening and cost, ease of doing and accuracy are important factor

#### Introduction

- ❖The cancer, which claims a million deaths per annum across India is a major concern due to presentation in later stages, owing to lack of awareness and structured screening programs.
- ❖The fragmented screening happens through hospitals (HBS), rural camps by screening buses (CSB), awareness programs, and augmented self-examination (ASE) protocols using AI.
- ❖This research is aimed to empower the cancer screening strategies.

# Representation of tools used for the Augmented self examination/ camps



Oral cancer screening



Cervical cancer screening



Breast cancer screening

### Methods-

- ❖The effective analysis is based on the number of cancer cases detected and treated effectively. Cost data was collected as a combination of CAPEX and OPEX to do a camp and cost is derived per test using key informant interviews, patient surveys and other publicly available data sources.
- ❖A hypothetical cohort of 5,00,000 patient was assumed for assessing each strategy. One-way, two-way, and probabilistic sensitivity analysis were performed to test the robustness of the result. Methods selected were HBS, CSB &ASE.

#### Results

- ❖The results showed augmented self-examination is the best strategy followed by education and lastly by screening vehicles.
- ❖One way analysis showed that the results were sensitive to the labour cost, but the two-way analysis showed that results are not sensitive to cancer burden.
- ❖The cost of ASE for 3 cancers (oral, cervical breast) per person is INR 150, and for CSB 1200 INR and for HBS its 650 INR

#### Conclusion-

❖ASE is the way forward in developing nations followed by empowering the community health centers and least effective is cancer screening buses.

#### References-

- http://cancerindia.org.in/cancer-statistics/
- https://sujainfo.com/gyneve-making-an-impact-on-the-ground
- https://www.ibreastexam.com
- https://sascan.in/oral-cancer-screening-device/



Contact- DR AVS Suresh
Sr Consultant Medical Oncologist
Continental Hospital, Hyderabad
Ph-+91 9246243034, attilivss@gmail.com