

Bhumsuk Keam¹, Mimi I. Hu², Vivek Subbiah², Lori Wirth³, Martin Schuler⁴, Aaron S. Mansfield⁵, Marcia S. Brose⁶, Giuseppe Curigliano⁷, Sophie Leboulleux⁸, Viola W. Zhu⁹, Ignacio Matos¹⁰, Douglas Adkins¹¹, Christina S. Baik¹², Gilberto Lopes¹³, Yann Godbert¹⁴, Debashis Sarker¹⁵, Hui Zhang¹⁶, Christopher D. Turner¹⁶, Matthew H. Taylor¹⁷, Chia-Chi Lin¹⁸

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of South Korea; ²Department of Endocrine Neoplasia and Hormonal Disorders, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA; ³Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴Department of Medical Oncology, West German Cancer Center, University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany; ⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA; ⁶Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Abramson Cancer Center, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁷Division of Early Drug Development for Innovative Therapies, European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, and Department of Oncology and Haemato-Oncology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy; ⁸Department of Nuclear Medicine and Endocrine Oncology, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; ⁹Department of Medicine, University of California, Irvine School of Medicine, Orange, CA, USA; ¹⁰Department of Medical Oncology, Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology, Barcelona, Spain; ¹¹Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ¹²Department of Medicine, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA; ¹³Department of Medical Oncology, Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center at the University of Miami, Miami, FL, USA; ¹⁴Department of Nuclear Medicine, Bergonié Institute Cancer Center, Bordeaux, France; ¹⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Guy's Hospital, King's College London, London, UK; ¹⁶Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA; ¹⁷Earle A. Chiles Research Institute, Providence Portland Medical Center, Portland, OR, USA; ¹⁸Department of Oncology, National Taiwan University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

Background

- Medullary thyroid cancer (MTC) accounts for 1–5% of all thyroid cancers¹
- RET* mutations are present in 50–90% of sporadic MTC and nearly 100% of hereditary MTC cases as part of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 (MEN2) syndrome^{1,2}
- The multikinase inhibitors cabozantinib and vandetanib are approved treatment options for advanced MTC, but have high rates of dose reductions and treatment discontinuations due to adverse events^{3,4}
- Pralsetinib is a highly potent and selective inhibitor of wild-type *RET* and *RET* with oncogenic alterations, including V804M/L gatekeeper mutations⁵
- In the phase 1/2 ARROW study (NCT03037385) of pralsetinib in patients with advanced *RET*-altered solid tumors, pralsetinib demonstrated rapid and durable responses in the *RET* fusion–positive non-small cell lung cancer cohort⁶
- Here, we report the registrational data for patients with advanced or metastatic *RET*-mutant MTC from the ARROW study

Methods

- ARROW is an ongoing, international, multicenter phase 1/2 study across 84 sites in 11 countries
 - Phase 1 dose escalation established the recommended phase 2 dose of pralsetinib as 400 mg administered orally once daily (QD)
 - Phase 2 expansion cohorts were defined by tumor type and/or *RET* alteration
- Efficacy results for response-evaluable patients with *RET*-mutant MTC and safety for all patients who initiated pralsetinib 400 mg QD are reported here

ARROW study design

Advanced solid tumors

RET-altered (local testing)

No other driver mutations

ECOG PS 0–1

Prior receipt of or not candidates for standard therapy^a

Pralsetinib dosing
400 mg PO QD
N=438

RET-mutant MTC with prior cabozantinib and/or vandetanib
n=67

RET-mutant MTC with no prior systemic treatment
n=42

RET-mutant MTC with prior systemic treatment other than cabozantinib and vandetanib
n=10

Other *RET*-altered tumors
n=319

Key endpoints

- ORR and DOR evaluated by blinded, independent central review per RECIST v1.1
- Safety

Data cutoff: February 13, 2020

Results

Baseline characteristics

Characteristic	All 400 mg pralsetinib (N=92) ^a	Prior cabozantinib and/or vandetanib (n=61)	No prior systemic treatment (n=22)
Median age (range), years	59 (19–83)	58 (25–83)	60 (19–81)
Male, n (%)	63 (68)	41 (67)	16 (73)
ECOG PS, n (%)			
0	37 (40)	17 (28)	15 (68)
1–2 ^b	55 (60)	44 (72)	7 (32)
Disease stage at screening, n (%)			
III	0	0	0
IV	37 (40)	27 (44)	5 (23)
IVA	10 (11)	5 (8)	4 (18)
IVB	17 (18)	10 (16)	5 (23)
IVC	28 (30)	19 (31)	8 (36)
History of CNS/brain metastases, n (%)	9 (10)	5 (8)	3 (14)
<i>RET</i> mutation, n (%)			
M918T	92 (100)	61 (100)	22 (100)
Cysteine rich domain ^d	56 (61)	41 (67) ^c	8 (36)
V804M/L	27 (29)	14 (23)	11 (50)
Other ^e	3 (3)	2 (3)	1 (5)
	6 (7)	4 (7)	2 (9)

Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. ^aIncludes patients enrolled by July 11, 2019; data cutoff February 13, 2020. Patients enrolled by this date either received standard therapy or were not candidates for standard therapy; 9 patients received prior systemic therapy other than cabozantinib or vandetanib. ^bECOG PS of 2 was allowed prior to a protocol amendment. ^cThree patients classified with M918T as the primary mutation also had a V804L or V804M mutation. ^dCysteine rich domain includes: C609, C611, C618, C620, C630 and/or C634. ^eOther includes: D898_E901del (n=1), L790F (n=1), A883F (n=2), K666E (n=1) and R844W (n=1). CNS, central nervous system; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance score.

Clinical response to pralsetinib in response-evaluable patients with prior cabozantinib and/or vandetanib treatment (n=53)^a

Response	Percentage
CR	96% (87–100)
PR	4%
SD	36%
PD	2%

ORR (95% CI) 60% (46–74)
CR 2%
PR^b 58%
SD 36%
PD 4%
DCR (95% CI) 96% (87–100)

Clinical response to pralsetinib in response-evaluable patients with no prior systemic treatment (n=42)

Response	Percentage
CR	74% (49–91)
PR	68%
SD	26%
PD	0%

ORR (95% CI) 74% (49–91)
CR 5%
PR 68%
SD 26%
PD 0%
DCR (95% CI) 100% (82–100)

Clinical response to pralsetinib in response-evaluable patients with no prior systemic treatment (n=10)

Response	Percentage
CR	100% (82–100)
PR	0%
SD	0%
PD	0%

ORR (95% CI) 100% (82–100)
CR 100%
PR 0%
SD 0%
PD 0%
DCR (95% CI) 100% (82–100)

Progression-free survival

Blinded independent central review of tumor response; patients enrolled by July 11, 2019, as of a data cutoff February 13, 2020. PFS presented for efficacy population. NR, not reached; PFS, progression-free survival.

Clinical response to pralsetinib in response-evaluable patients with no prior systemic treatment (n=19)^a

Response	Percentage
CR	74% (49–91)
PR	68%
SD	26%
PD	0%

ORR (95% CI) 74% (49–91)
CR 5%
PR 68%
SD 26%
PD 0%
DCR (95% CI) 100% (82–100)

^aBlinded independent central review of tumor response; response-evaluable patients enrolled by July 11, 2019, as of a data cutoff February 13, 2020. Two patients without measurable disease at baseline on central review and 1 patient with another known driver mutation were not response-evaluable.

Duration of response

Blinded independent central review of tumor response; patients enrolled by July 11, 2019, as of a data cutoff February 13, 2020. DOR presented for response-evaluable population and includes confirmed responses only. DOR, duration of response; NR, not reached.

Progression-free survival

Blinded independent central review of tumor response; patients enrolled by July 11, 2019, as of a data cutoff February 13, 2020. PFS presented for efficacy population. NR, not reached; PFS, progression-free survival.

Safety profile, all tumor types (N=438)

TRAEs in ≥15% of patients	Pralsetinib 400 mg QD (N=438)	
	All grades	Grade ≥3
Increased aspartate aminotransferase	34%	2%
Anemia	24%	8%
Increased alanine aminotransferase	23%	2%
Hypertension	22%	11%
Constipation	23%	1%
Decreased white blood cell count	18%	3%
Neutropenia	18%	10%
Decreased neutrophil count	16%	6%
Hyperphosphatemia	15%	1%

Data cutoff February 13, 2020.

- Pralsetinib was well tolerated
- Treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) were primarily Grade 1–2 and reversible
- 4% of patients discontinued due to TRAEs
- Median dose intensity was 92% (range 18–100)

Conclusions

- Pralsetinib demonstrated potent and durable clinical activity in *RET*-mutant advanced MTC regardless of line of therapy
 - 60% ORR and 96% DCR in patients with prior treatment with cabozantinib and/or vandetanib
 - 74% ORR and 100% DCR in systemic treatment-naïve patients who were not candidates for standard therapies
- Responses were observed regardless of *RET* mutation genotype, including 5 of 6 (83%) patients with V804M/L gatekeeper mutation
- Pralsetinib was well tolerated at 400 mg QD; only 4% of patients discontinued due to TRAEs

References

- Wells SA et al. *Thyroid*. 2015;25:567–610.
- Subbiah V et al. *Cancer Discov*. 2020;38:1209–1221.
- Elisei *Oncol*. 2013;31:3639–3646.
- Wells SA, Jr. et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30:134–141.
- Subbiah V et al. *Cancer Discov*. 2018;8:836–849.
- Gainor JF et al. ASCO 2020. Poster 9515.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the patients, their families, and all investigators involved in this study. Medical writing support was provided by Kenny Tran, MSc, and editorial support was provided by Michelle Seddon, Dip Biotech Co., Psych, all of Paragon, Knutsford, UK, supported by Blueprint Medicines Corporation, Cambridge, MA, according to Good Publication Practice guidelines.

Disclosures

Study sponsored by Blueprint Medicines Corporation. BK consulted and/or had advisory roles for ABL Bio, AstraZeneca, Cellid, Genexine, and MSD Oncology; and received research funding from AstraZeneca, Ono Pharmaceutical, and MSD Oncology. Other author disclosures can be found here: [g](#)

Presented at ESMO ASIA 2020, November 20–22, 2020, Singapore. Please contact medinfo@blueprintmedicines.com for permission to reprint and/or distribute