# Routine quantification of liver iron by MRI

#### Y. Gandon, A. Paisant, G. d'Assignies, C. Chargeboeuf, E. Bannier, H. Saint-Jalmes.

Prof. Yves Gandon is the creator of the **MRQuantif** java software freely avalaible on www.mrquantif.org



Medical Imaging University Hospitals of Rennes – FR



INSERM – LTSI - UMR 1099

#### **Clinical background**

### **Physics background**

### In practice

#### What are the main causes?

#### Genetic hemochromatosis:

- constant but variable hepatic iron overload, within hepatocytes,
- no splenic iron overload except in a rare type of mutation (type 4),
- main risk is cirrhosis with hepatocellular carcinoma.
- Hemolytic anemias or repeated transfusions:
  - iron overload of the reticulo-endothelial system, including spleen,
  - main risk is cardiac failure.
- Dysmetabolic iron overload syndrom:
  - slight iron overload of the liver and spleen,
  - with associated steatosis,
  - main risk is evolution to NASH and cirrhosis.

# **MRI quantification indications?**

#### Not really required in genetic hemochromatosis:

- diagnosis is done by genetic test
- ferritinemia could be sufficient to follow effect of repeated phlebotomies
- It just helps to predict the treatment length
- Could be useful in hematologic disorders:
  - to follow treatment by chelation
  - to quantify simultaneously cardiac iron
- Helpful in DIOS or unexplained hyperferritinemia:
  - to determine if there is an hepatic and splenic iron overload
  - and also to better quantify liver steatosis

## What is the gold standard?

 Iron is detected by Perls staining showing dark blue spots which corresponds to iron deposition.

> *Iron deposits located around a portal space. This is characteristic of a genetic hemochromatosis.*



- But liver (hepatic) iron concentration (LIC or HIC) is determined by biochemical analysis of the biopsy core and is expressed :
  - in mg/g with a upper normal limit of 2 mg/g
  - or in μmol/g with a upper normal limit of 36 μmol/g

# Effect of iron on MRI signal?

- Iron is stored as ferritin in 12 nm boxes containing 4500 Fe<sup>3+</sup> each.
- This produces a superparamagnetic effect with a predominant T2\* decrease, leading to an hyposignal intensity which is stronger:
  - with GRE sequences, more sensitives to iron overload
  - when TE increases
  - if magnetic field is stronger

Major decrease of the liver signal intensity in comparison to that of the paraspinous muscles. No decrease of the splenic signal in this genetic hemochromatosis.



# What are the two MRI methods?

- **Relaxometry** by calculating T2<sup>1</sup> (or R2) from a multiecho (ME) SE sequence or more frequently T2\* (or R2\*) from a ME GRE sequence
- Liver to muscle Signal Intensity Ratios (SIR) using several (in-phase) TEs of dedicated GRE sequences. To compare the signal this sequence must use the **BODY COIL.** The ratio selected is preferably between 0.25 and 0.7.



<sup>1</sup> Only proposed by an autralian team as a commercial FDA approved test named FerriScan<sup>®</sup>.

Clinical

**Physics** 

In practice

# How T2\* is calculated?

- Liver signal intensities should be measured at the same location for each TE of a ME GRE sequence.
- A curve is fitted with these values using this equation : signal = signal0 exp(-TE/T2\*) + offset
- Offset (corresponding to background noise) can be subtracted or used as a threshold limit for truncation.
- A more complex equation can be used to integrate the phase variation due to the fat.

Another example with significant steatosis responsible of a cyclic ondulation of the liver signal. In that case, MRQuantif curve fitting is taking in account the phase signal variation.

Clinical

**Physics** 

In practice



#### What happens in case of normal liver?

#### 1.5 Tesla

#### LIC < 36 μmol/g:</p>

Clinical

**Physics** 

In practice

- T2\* > 18 ms and R2\* < 55 s<sup>-1</sup>
- Liver signal intensity is close to that of muscle, SIR is > 0.8 including on long TE sequence



## In case of slight iron overload?

1.5 Tesla

#### LIC = 36-72 µmol/g:

Clinical

**Physics** 

In practice

- T2\* = 18ms down to 7 ms and R2\* = 55 s<sup>-1</sup> up to 145 s<sup>-1</sup>
- Liver signal intensity decreases below that of the muscle, particularly on long TE GRE sequence



#### In case of moderate iron overload?

#### LIC = 72-200 µmol/g:

Clinical

**Physics** 

In practice

Pittfalls

- $T2^* = 7ms$  down to 2.5 ms and  $R2^* = 145 s^{-1}$  up to 400 s<sup>-1</sup>
- Liver signal stays superior to the noise only on 1st in-phase TE with SIR between 0.5 and 0.2

1.5 Tesla



# In case of high iron overload?

#### LIC > 200 μmol/g:

 T2\* < 2.5 ms and R2\* > 300 s<sup>-1</sup>, method is not accurate if first TE is not short enough, ie > 1 ms

1.5 Tesla

SIR could use a shorter out-phase TE (after steatosis exclusion)



### In case of major iron overload?

#### LIC > 500 µmol/g:

Clinical

**Physics** 

In practice

Pittfalls

The T2\* can be strongly overestimated if the first TE is not below 1 ms

1.5 Tesla

SIR should be used to avoid iron assessment errors



# What happens at 3T?

- Effect of iron is **two times stronger**:
  - the T2\* is divided by 2,

Clinical

**Physics** 

In practice

- and the R2\* is multiplied by 2.
- The TE should be divided by 2 to get a similar signal.
- Then the accurate determination of this shorter T2\* can become difficult.
- When using a first TE about 1 ms, the limitation occurs even for moderate overloads.
- A shorter first TE, for example of 0.5 ms, is difficult to obtain in routine.
- So the SIR method is frequently needed as a complement.

### So which method to use?

If you can get a ME GRE sequence with your MRI device, T2\*/R2\* calculation should be preferred,

Clinical

Physics

In practice

- except in case of high overload at 1.5T, or even moderate overload at 3T, when liver signal intensity decreases strongly on the first echo: it is necessary to have at least 2 echoes keeping a signal to calculate correctly T2\*.
- If there is a strong decrease in the signal, the SIR method must be performed to avoid major errors.

#### R2\* to LIC?

Here are the 5 main articles analyzing the correlation between the R2\* and the LIC: 

ical	Ref	Patients	With biopsies	LIC range (mg/g)	Sequence	Matrix	TR (ms)	FA TE-min (°) (ms)	delta-TE (ms)	N TEs	TE-max (ms)	Comment	Method	Correlation (R)
Clin	Anderson Eur Heart J 2001	27 patients	<b>27 (29 biopsies):</b> β-thalassemia	1-26	GRE mono echo	96×128	200	20 <b>2.2</b>	2.56	8	20.1		offset	0.68 (fibrosis) 0.93 (others)
sics	Wood Blood 2005	102 patients + 13 controls	22: thalassemia major (n=9), sickle cell disease (n=10), thalassemia intermedia (n=2), Blackfan-Diamond syndrome (n=1)	1.3-32.9 et 57.8	GRE mono echo	64x64	25	20 <b>0.8</b>	0.25	16	4.8	Controls: R2* = 39 s <sup>-1</sup> T2* = 25 ms.	offset	0.97
Phys	Hankins Blood 2009	43 patients	<b>43</b> : sickle cell disease (n=32), thalassemia major (n=6), bone marrow failure (n=5)	0.6-27.6 NB: 2 <2mg/g	GRE multi echoes	?	?	? 1.1	0.8	20	17,3		truncated	0.97
ice	Garbowski J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2014	121 patients 31 controls	<b>25 (50 biopsies)</b> : βthalassemia (n=20), Blackfan-Diamond syndrome (n=1), congenital sideroblastic anemia (n=2), pyruvate kinase deficiency (n=1)	1.7-42.3 NB: 1 <2mg/g	GRE multi echoes	?	?	? 1.1	0.8	20	17,3	Controls: R2* = 37 s <sup>-1</sup> T2* = 27 ms.	truncated	0.94
In pract	<b>Henninger</b> RöFo 2015	17 patients	17: hemochromatosis (n=10), DIOS (n=2), aceruloplasminemia (n=2), congenital sideroblastic anemia (n=1), spur cell aenemia (n=1)	0.92-11.65 NB: 4 <2mg/g	GRE multi echoes	128x128	200	20 <b>0.99</b>	1.41	12	16.5	fat suppression	truncated	0.92

#### And our experience at 3T:

Pof	Patients	With biopsies	LIC range	Sequence N	Matrix	TR	FA TE-min	delta-TE		TE-max	Comment	Method	Correlation (R)
Rei			(mg/g)		Maula	(ms)	(°) (ms)	(ms)	NIES	(ms)	Comment	Welliou	Correlation (K)
		105: hemochromatosis (n=31)											
d'Assignies	105 patients	DIOS (n=22)	0-35	GRE 106×128 multi echo	106×128	120	20 12	12	10	12		subtraction	0.95
2017		others (n=3)			120	20 1.2	1.2	10	12		Subtraction	0.00	
		controls (n=49)											
	A total of 105	biopsies											

### **Correlation between R2\* and LIC?**

 There are differences between the parameters of the formula converting R2\* to LIC (expressed here in mg/g)



 To be converted in μmol/g , R2\* should be divided by a value between 1.8 (Garbowski) and 2.5 (Henninger).

#### SIR to LIC?

Here are the 4 main articles analyzing the correlation between the SIR and the LIC:

ical	Ref	Patients	With biopsies	LIC range (µmolg/g)	Sequence	Matrix	TR (ms)	FA TE-min (°) (ms)	N TES	TE-max (ms)	Method	Correlation (R)
Clin	Gandon The Lancet 2004	113 patients + 61 controls	<b>174</b> : with cirhosis (n=39), with steatosis (n=31)	0-709	GRE mono echo	256×128	120	20 4	4	21	on-line calculator	0.87-0.92
hysics	Alustiza Radiology 2004	44 patients + 68 controls	112	0-390	GRE mono echo	256×128	120	20 4	3	21	formula	0.94
	Rose Eur J Haematol 2006	25 patients + 2 controls	27	25-972	GRE mono echo	256×128	120	20 <b>1.8</b>	5	21	formula for high overload using 1.8 ms TE	0.85
	Castiella Eur Radiol 2011	64 patients (20 new) + 107 controls (39 new)	112 published(Alustiza) +59 new	0-390	GRE mono echo	256×128	120	20 4	3	21	31 patients at 1T, TE 9 ms missing	0.86
G	Tot	tal of 372 biopsies										
In praction		At 2	1.5T Alustiza SII	R metho	od is pr	obab	ly c	loser 1	to R	2* re	sults	
	■ And	our ovnorion										

#### And our experience at 3T:

falls													
	Ref	Patients	With biopsies	LIC range (umolg/g)	Sequence	Matrix	TR (ms)	FA (°)	TE-min (ms)	N TEs	TE-max (ms)	Method	Correlation (R)
Pitt	Paisant Abd Radiol 2017	105 patients	<b>105:</b> hemochromatosis (n=31) DIOS (n=22) others (n=3) controls (n=49)	0-630	GRE mono echo	106×128	120	20	1.2	5	14	on-line calculator	0.96
		Total of 105 bio	psies										

More detailed information on: <u>https://imagemed.univ-rennes1.fr/en/mrquantif/quantif.php</u>

#### In practice?

Can I perform a ME GRE sequence on my MRI device?

Clinical	carriper		L Sequence of						
S		GEMS	Philips	Siemens	•••				
Physic	2D ME GRE	Starmap <sup>1</sup> option	Standard package						
actice	3D ME GRE (options)	IDEAL-IQ	mDixon-Quant	Liverlab with 3D VIBE-Dixon					

With 2D ME GRE you need to have another software (such as MRQuantif<sup>2</sup>) or plugin to calculate T2\*or R2\*.

3D ME GRE sequences produce T2\* or R2\* maps (and Fat fraction maps)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Could be replaced by several monoecho GRE without changing calibration between them

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Free download at https://imagemed.univ-rennes1.fr/en/mrguantif/download.php

#### What is needed for the SIR method?

The minimum is to use GRE sequences with these parameters:

BODY	TP = 120 mc	TE Field	opp1	in1	in2	in3	in4
	$FA = 20^{\circ}$	1.5T	2.4 ms	4.8 ms	9.6 ms	14.4 ms	
CUIL		ЗТ	1.2 ms	2.4 ms	4.8 ms		9.6 ms

Available on all MR systems. No option or extra software is required.

But now, use preferably a ME GRE sequence (alternating TE out/in-phase) that allows the simultaneous quantification of fat and iron with both methods, T2\* and SIR.

Clinical

Physics

### What parameters for this ME GRE?

We propose parameters which allow to use simultaneously T2\* and SIR methods. To use SIR method, body coil must be the only one selected.

#### Main MRI parameters

Coil	Body (autoselection off)
Sequence	GRE
Options	No fat saturation
TR (msec)	120 (to reduce T1 contrast)
TE (msec)	multiple of 1.2
FA (°)	20 (to reduce T1 contrast)
Bandwith	Adapt it to get the correct TEs
N excitations	1
Plane	Axial
N slices	Max allowed (could depend on the number of TEs)
Thickness	7 or 8 mm
Gap	Could be large (10mm) if you have a small number of slice
Matrix	Asymetric to reduce acquisition time, ex: 128x116
Phase	Anterior-Posterior
FOV (cm)	40
Aq. time (sec)	about 15

Protocols for various MR devices are avalaible at <u>https://imagemed.univ-rennes1.fr/en/mrquantif/protocols.php</u>

#### How to get LIC from SIR data?

- ROIs are placed on the liver and paraspinous muscles on GRE images obtained with the BODY COIL. Signal intensities values can be used with the Alustiza formula LIC (µmol/g) = e <sup>(5.8-(0.9 x LMin3) - 1.5 x LMin1)</sup> or entered in the Rennes web page.
- However, to avoid errors it is now recommended to do this with the MRQuantif software which controls the coil used (by reading the DICOM header).

#### MRQuantif software



IG <sup>2</sup> The first sequence has now a shorter TE to be able to quantify high overload. It is useful only if the second sequence, existing in the old protocol, shows a complete liver signal decrease. The last sequence is not mandatory, but if you use it please switch to a 19ms TE instead 21ms to better be in-phase.											
Patient: Test											
TR / TE / PA°	Liver(1)	Liver (2)	Liver (3)	Muscle (1)	Muscle (2)	L/M	LIC	Comments			
Out (1): GRE 120 / 2 / 2	0° 0	0	0	0	0	0					
In (1): GRE 120 / 4 / 20	232	228	235	189	195	1.21	81	high signal, low sensitivity			
In (2): GRE 120 / 9 / 20	188	181	184	172	181	1.04	76	high signal, low sensitivity			
In (3): GRE 120 / 14 / 2	<b>9</b> ° 95	88	91	125	128	0.72	77	🖌 usable value			
In (4): GRE 120 / 19 / 2	° 0	0	0	0	0	0					

Rennes university web nade example

#### Results :

LIC estimated using TE of 14 ms: 77 (±30) µmol/g (N < 36 µmol/g)

Version: January 21th, 2018

Direct link: <u>https://imagemed.univ-rennes1.fr/en/mrquantif/online\_quantif.php</u>

Clinical

# How to get R2\* and LIC from data?

 R2\* or T2\* maps are built if you have acquired a 3D ME GRE sequence. The pixel value is not always standardized and may require the use of a manufacturer's software.



- If you got the T2\* in ms calculate the R2\* in  $s^{-1}$  (R2\*=1000/T2\*).
- At **1.5T**, I propose a conversion half-way between Wood and Garbowski formula:

#### LIC in $\mu$ mol/g = R2\*/2

If you work with hematologists:

Clinical

Physics

In practice

Pittfalls

LIC in mg/g = LIC in  $\mu$ mol/g / 18

 At **3T**, use preferably the MRQuantif software to avoid major errors due to T2\* miscalculation

# How to get R2\* and LIC from data?

- If you don't have 3D GRE commercial option, you can use, with the same performance (or even better, cf ESGAR 2018 scientific session), the ME GRE data (preferably acquired with body coil to make a cross-check by SIR method)
- Download freely the MRQuantif software (or equivalent if you find one) and calculate the LIC from your data

Clinical



# Main pittfalls

- T2\* map **miscalculation**
- T2\* overestimation

Clinical

Physics

In practice

- Using the SIR method when a surface coil has been selected
- Follow-up not using the same method or the same settings.

# **T2\* map miscalculation**

- T2\* map pixelwise calculation is using complex formula taking in account the phase variation of signal.
- In case of strong decrease of signal, particularly at 3T, pixel to pixel variation due to the inherent noise may introduce a major **calculation error**. This occurs whith all MRI brands.

 $T2^* = 4.52 \text{ ms} - R2^* = 221 \text{ ms} - MR-LIC = 110 \mu \text{mol/g}$ 



LIC estimated at 110  $\mu$ mol/g instead of 500  $\mu$ mol/g and FF = 43% instead of 0%



So, don't trust maps if signal is too low on first echoes

# T2\* limitations in case of high LIC

- In case of significant overload, and particularly at 3T, there is a drop in the intensity of the hepatic signal, including the first echoes.
- The calculation of T2\*/R2\* may not be accurate, especially if the first TE is not short enough.

In this series at 3T, SIR method was more precise for these LIC > 200  $\mu$ mol/g.

Clinical

Physics

In practice

Pittfalls

Thus, in case of high overload, especially at 3T, it is preferable to switch to the SIR method



d'Assignies et al. Eur Radiol 2018.

#### SIR is not usable with surface coil

- As the SIR method compares the liver and muscle signal, the signal must be **homogeneous** within the entire image. Even if the signal distribution is corrected, the use of surface coil is not compatible with this method.
- Some devices (especially Siemens) can automatically select the bed surface coils if this option is not unselected.

In this case, the surface coils integrated in the MRI bed were used instead of the body coil integrated in the tunnel wall. There is a gradual decrease of the signal from the back to the front.

LIC = 180 instead of 10  $\mu$ mol/g

Unselect the automatic selection of coils ! Check the signal homogeneity in the image ! Use MRQuantif which controls the coil used by analyzing the DICOM header



#### Don't change the method in follow-up

- There are differences in results depending on the method and you should not change the method or parameters of the method in a follow-up.
- Our initial "SIR Gandon" method provides higher values than the T2\* calculation or the "SIR Alustiza". At 1.5T, we do not recommend our method anymore, but it remains available for patient follow-ups initially investigated by this method.

Clinical

Physics

In practice

Pittfalls

۲

There are also differences according to the **T2\* calculation methods** (underestimation of T2\* when calculating T2\* after subtraction of background noise) and differences in the **formula converting R2\* to LIC**.

T2*: optimal optimal basic offset in out subtraction	2*: Garbowski Garbowski Henninger Wood	LIC SIR: Alustiza Alustiza Gandon	
truncation complex	Be aware of c	chosen method an	d parameters se

election

#### Summary

- Quantification of liver iron by MRI is now more simple.
- If you have a 3D ME GRE dedicated MR sequence:
  - AT 1.5T : LIC in  $\mu$ mol = R2\*/2
  - But check that the result is coherent with the signal observed and don't trust values when there is a drop-off of liver signal on all echoes including the first ones.
  - Be careful at 3T, do a cross-check with SIR method.
- Or use a 2D ME GRE dedicated MR sequence:
  - We recommend to follow the mrquantif.org protocol which combines both methods and calculate simultaneously fat concentration.
  - It is also preferable to use the MRQuantif software, freely available, which controls acquisition parameters and simplify the process.
  - At 1.5T (not at 3T) the Rennes SIR method provides overestimated results. At that field, switch to the T2\* calculation, except in case of follow-up with a previous result obtained with this method.
- Never use SIR method when a surface coil was selected

#### **Main references**

- **1.** St Pierre TG, Clark PR, Chua-anusorn W, et al. Noninvasive measurement and imaging of liver iron concentrations using proton magnetic resonance. Blood 2005, 105:855–861
- 2. Anderson LJ, Holden S, Davis B, et al. Cardiovascular T2-star (T2\*) magnetic resonance for the early diagnosis of myocardial iron overload. Eur Heart J 2001, 22:2171–2179
- **3.** Wood JC, Enriquez C, Ghugre N, et al. MRI R2 and R2\* mapping accurately estimates hepatic iron concentration in transfusiondependent thalassemia and sickle cell disease patients. Blood 2005, 106:1460–1465
- **4.** *Hankins JS*, McCarville MB, Loeffler RB, et al. R2\* magnetic resonance imaging of the liver in patients with iron overload. Blood 2009, 113:4853–4855.
- **5.** Virtanen JM, Komu ME, Parkkola RK. Quantitative liver iron measurement by magnetic resonance imaging: in vitro and in vivo assessment of the liver to muscle signal intensity and the R2\* methods. Magnetic Resonance Imaging 1008, 26:1175–1182
- **6. Garbowski MW**, Carpenter J-P, Smith G, et al. Biopsy-based calibration of T2\* magnetic resonance for estimation of liver iron concentration and comparison with R2 Ferriscan. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson Off J Soc Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2014 16:40-52.
- **7.** *Henninger B,* Zoller H, Rauch S, et al. R2\* relaxometry for the quantification of hepatic iron overload: biopsy-based calibration and comparison with the literature. RöFo Fortschritte Auf Dem Geb Röntgenstrahlen Nukl 2015, 187:472–479
- 8. Gandon Y, Olivié D, Guyader D, et al. Non-invasive assessment of hepatic iron stores by MRI. Lancet 2004, 363:357–362
- 9. Alústiza JM, Artetxe J, Castiella A, et al. MR quantification of hepatic iron concentration. Radiology 2004, 230:479–484
- **10.** *Rose C*, Vandevenne P, Bourgeois E, et al. Liver iron content assessment by routine and simple magnetic resonance imaging procedure in highly transfused patients. Eur J Haematol 2006, 77:145–149
- **11. Castiella A**, Alustiza JM, Emparanza JI et al. Liver iron concentration quantificatio by MRI: are recommended protocols accurate enough for clinical practice? Eur Radiol (2011) 21: 137–141
- **12.** Paisant A, Boulic A, Bardou-Jacquet E, et al. Assessment of liver iron overload by 3 T MRI. Abdom Radiol 2017, 42:1713–1720
- **13.** *d'Assignies G*, Paisant A, Bardou-Jacquet E, et al. Non invasive measurement of liver iron concentration using 3 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging: validation against biopsy. Eur Radiol 2018 28:2022-2030